





CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY AND FIRE PROTECTION SONOMA-LAKE NAPA UNIT

1199 Big Tree Road St. Helena, CA 94574

INVESTIGATION REPORT

CASE NUMBER:

17CALNU010045

CASE NAME:

Tubbs

DATE:

October 8, 2017

INCIDENT TYPE:

Wildland Fire

INCIDENT INVESTIGATOR(s):

John MARTINEZ, Battalion Chief - CZU

Vince BERGLAND, Battalion Chief, CSR Matt FRANKLIN, Fire Captain, BDU Mark FRITS, Battalion Chief - TGU Scott LOHSE, Fire Captain – TGU Greg ROATH, Fire Captain – SKU

Mike THOMPSON, Battalion Chief - CNR

- 1 1 VIOLATION(S):
- 2 None

1 2 - **SUMMARY**:

- 2 On the evening of Sunday, October 8, 2017 a red flag warning was in effect throughout
- 3 the North Bay including Napa County. Temperatures that evening were in the 60's to
- 4 lower 70's, with humidity in the 10-18% range. Wind models later prepared by CAL
- 5 FIRE Northern Operations Predictive Services estimated ridgetop winds could have
- 6 reached 55 to 90 mph. At approximately 9:41 P.M. Michelle HICKMAN (M. HICKMAN),
- 7 a resident of Calistoga, CA reported a fire occurring in the area of Hwy 128 and Tubbs
- 8 Road. The fire was named the Tubbs Fire and eventually burned 36,807 acres in both
- 9 Napa and Sonoma Counties and destroyed 5636 structures including 4651 residential
- structures, 94 commercial properties and 891 outbuildings. The fire damaged an
- additional 230 residential structures, 22 commercial structures and 65 outbuildings. The
- 12 Tubbs Fire included 22 civilian fatalities.

13

- On October 9, 2017, I arrived at approximately 2:30 P.M. in Napa County to assist with
- the origin and cause investigation of the Tubbs Fire. On October 11, 2017 I was
- 16 assigned as the lead investigator to conduct an origin and cause investigation for the
- 17 Tubbs Fire. During the course of my investigation I located a General Origin Area
- 18 (GOA) and subsequently a Specific Origin Area (SOA) on a privately-owned parcel off
- 19 of Bennett Lane in Calistoga, CA. After a thorough, systematic investigation, taking into
- 20 account witness statements, opinions of experts, physical evidence, collected electronic
- 21 data, and fire direction indicators, the SOA of the Tubbs fire is near the primary
- 22 residential structure and immediate area surrounding the structure at

at

- During my investigation, I eliminated all other causes for the Tubbs Fire, with the
- 24 exception of an electrical caused fire originating from an unknown event affecting
- 25 privately owned conductor or equipment.

- 1 3 - SUBJECT(S):
- 2 None

4 - VICTIMS:

The Tubbs Fire included 22 civilian fatalities, listed below (by age and location of death):

Officer Initials

31

LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

1 WITNESSES: 2 3 W1 4 Michelle HICKMAN (M. HICKMAN) 5 3381 Highway 128 6 Calistoga, CA 94515 7 DOB 8 M. HICKMAN was the first reporting party to the Tubbs Fire. She can testify to what she 9 10 saw from her residence the night of the fire on October 8, 2017. 11 12 W2 Ron RISI (R. RISI) 13 14 15 Calistoga, CA 94515 16 DOB: 17 18 R. RISI can testify his power went off sometime after 9:00 P.M. on October 8, 2017. He 19 also received a call from S. THOMPSON at an unknown time after 9:00 P.M. telling him 20 there was a fire on the hillside and to get out. R. RISI can testify when he left his 21 22 residence, he noticed the fire was to the south on the west side of 23 W3 24 Shawn THOMPSON (S. THOMPSON) 25 26 Calistoga, CA 94515 27 28 DOB S. THOMPSON can testify that he was awoken by his wife on October 8, 2017, telling 29 him there was a fire. He can also testify he observed flames in the backyard coming 30

down the hill towards him and after he evacuated the house and parked on Hwy 128, he

26

28 Calistoga, CA 94515

29 707-942-2846

30 TAMAGNI was one of the first fire resources on scene on October 8, 2017. He can

testify to fire behavior in the area of Hwy 128 and 31 Officer Initials LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

- 2 W7
- 3 Jaime OROZCO
- 4 Calistoga Fire Department
- 5 1113 Washington Street
- 6 Calistoga, CA 94515
- 7 707-942-2840
- 8 OROZCO can testify he was on E-419 the night of October 8, 2017. He observed the
- 9 fire on both sides of Hwy 128, from a wind driven fire from

10

- 11 W8
- 12 Mark RHODES
- 13 Electrical Engineer
- 14 3460 Zion Canyon Ct.
- 15 Pleasanton, CA 94588

16

17 RHODES can testify to the electrical systems near the water pump and private pole 1.

18

- 19 W9
- 20 Luis Alberto VARGAS-RIVERA (VARGAS)

21

- 22 Calistoga, CA 94515
- 23 DOB:

24

- 25 On October 8, 2017, at approximately 10:05 P.M. VARGAS observed a fire on the hill
- above 3452 Hwy 128. He can testify the fire had not yet burned onto the Wheeler
- 27 Farm, where he works.

28

- 29 W10
- 30 Dave KAROLY
- 31 CAL FIRE LIDAR LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

Officer Initials M

- 1 1300 U. Street
- 2 Sacramento, CA 94244
- 3 916-324-1644
- 4 Assisted in documenting the scene using LIDAR.

- 6 W11
- 7 Dan GREGORY
- 8 CAL FIRE LIDAR
- 1300 U. Street 9
- 10 Sacramento, CA 94244
- 11 916-323-1044
- 12 Assisted in documenting the scene using LIDAR.

13

- 14 W12
- 15
- Daughter of property owner, 16
- 17
- 18
- DOB: 19

20

- can testify she had not been on the property since September 3, 2017 21
- 22 and is unaware of any problems associated with the property. She can testify Mike
- 23 ANDREWS is on the property at least once per week to make sure everything is
- 24 working properly and maintained.

25

- 26 W13
- 27
- 28
- 29

31 LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12 W15

13 Jim NOLT

14 Electrical Engineer

15 107 Blue Canyon Way

16 Folsom, CA 95630

17

18 NOLT can testify to his observations and analysis of the electrical systems identified

19 during the Tubbs Fire Investigation, as outlined in his report.

20

21 W16

22 Amy HUNTER

23 Officer, Napa Police Department

24 1539 1st Street

25 Napa, CA 94559

26 707-257-9223

27 HUNTER can testify to information she received regarding a subject seen on Silverado

28 Trail Road the day of the fire, miles from the GOA.

29

30 W17

31 Dale HOSKINS LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

- 1 Police Officer, Calistoga Police Department
- 2 1234 Washington Street
- 3 Calistoga, CA 94515
- 4 707-942-2810
- 5 HOSKINS was the first public officer at scene, prior to firefighters, where he took a
- 6 photograph of the fire. He can testify to his observations.

- 8 W18
- 9 Lucinda "Cindy" Ann YANT (L. YANT)
- 10 3452 Hwy 128
- 11 P.O. Box 521
- 12 Calistoga, CA 94515

13

- 14 DOB:
- 15 L. YANT can testify she was home on the night of October 8, 2017 and observed a fire
- 16 coming towards her residence. L. YANT provided photographs showing her
- 17 observations.

18

- 19 W19
- 20 Nicholaus LUTZ (N. LUTZ)
- 21 Deer Park Volunteer Fire Department

22

- 23
- 24 DOB

25

- 26 N LUTZ can testify to the where the fire was when he arrived on scene assigned to
- 27 Engine 21, with the Deer Park Volunteer Fire Department. He can also testify to the
- 28 fact the fire was backing northeast along and had not reached the
- residence until late that evening and into the early morning hours of

11

30 October 9, 2017.

31

LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

Office



1 W20 2 Anne MISSEN 3 4 Calistoga, CA 94515 5 6 MISSEN can testify she saw the fire burn slowly from the south to the north, near the 7 , as viewed from her residence. MISSEN describes the fire area of 8 as fighting against the wind and slowly moving from left (south) to right (north) 9 throughout the night and the next day. 10 11 W21 12 Rob EBLING 13 Calistoga Fire Department 14 1113 Washington Street 15 Calistoga, CA 94515 16 707-337-2882 17 EBLING responded to the incident on the initial dispatch. He can testify the fire was 18 backing northeast on the hillside above and when he arrived on scene it 19 had backed as far as the PG&E pole 1 on 20 21 W22 22 Mark OLACHEA 401 Burgundy South 23 Calistoga, CA 94515 24 25 26 OLACHEA took photographs in the area of Tubbs and on October 9, 27 2017. 28 29 W23 30 Ken MILLER, CFI Senior Fire Investigator 31 LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

- 1 Fire Investigation Unit
- 2 Liberty Mutual Insurance
- 3 175 Berkeley Street
- 4 Boston, MA 02116
- 5 Cell:
- 6 MILLER took photographs of tripped fuses on and provided photographs
- 7 to the investigation team.

- 9 W24
- 10 Stephanie LONGTON

11

- 12 405 Parkview Drive
- 13 Healdsburg, CA 95448

14

- 15 LONGTON provided video footage from a camera on the winery property showing first
- sign of fire on hillside at approximately 9:36 P.M. on October 8, 2107.

17

- 18 W25
- 19 Whitney RAMPP
- 20 3350 Hwy 128
- 21 <u>Calistoga, CA 945</u>15

22

- 23 DOB:
- 24 RAMPP can testify she heard a transformer blow sometime before 10:00 P.M. A short
- 25 time later she saw a fire on the hill in the area of

26


- 27 W26
- 28 Jan RISI (J. RISI)
- 29 1177 Bennett Lane

30

31 LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

13

Officer Initials



- 2 W30
- 3 Anthony Morgan PERLISS
- 4 3460 Hwy 128
- 5 Calistoga, CA 94515
- 6 DOB:

7

- 8 PERLISS can testify that between 9:00 P.M. and 10:00 P.M., on October 8, 2017, he
- 9 was at home and noticed the lights flicker 2 to 3 times. At approximately 10:10 P.M.
- 10 PERLISS went outside and while in the driveway looking south, he observed a glow
- 11 toward the pergola, south of the residence. Shortly after, he observed fire south of a
- 12 pergola below his house, burning low to the ground with little to no flames. He notified
- 13 his girlfriend about the fire at 10:37 P.M.

14

- 15 W31
- 16 Dan HICKMAN (D. HICKMAN)
- 17 3381 Highway 128
- 18 Calistoga, CA 94515
- 19 DOB:

20

- 21 D. HICKMAN can testify he was home on the evening of October 8, 2017. While
- outside around 9:30 P.M., he heard a pop or explosion and was aware of a light above
- 23 him to the north. He estimated the light occurred between 9:27 and 9:28 P.M. He didn't
- 24 look north to see what it was.

25

- 26 W32
- 27 Cigi Glynn RICH
- 28
- 29 Calistoga, CA 94515
- 30
- 31

DOB: LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

Officer Initials ______

- 1 RICH can testify she first saw a fire on October 8, 2017 at approximately 9:45 P.M.,
- 2 across the valley from where she lived. RICH also took pictures of what she could see
- 3 from the deck of her residence.

- 5 W33
- 6 Jordan JEFFERIES (J. JEFFERIES)
- 7 1230 Bennett Ln.
- 8 Calistoga, CA 94515
- 9 J. JEFFERIES lives with RICH, can testify he first saw a fire on October 8, 2017 at
- approximately 9:45 P.M., across the valley from where he lives.

11

- 12 W34
- 13 Eric JEFFERIES (E. JEFFERIES)
- 14 Father of J. JEFFERIES
- 15 3800 N. Delta Hwy
- 16 Eugene, OR 97408
- 17 DOB:

18

- 19 E. JEFFERIES can testify he was at and in bed on the evening of
- 20 October 8, 2017, when he noticed light coming through his window at 9:44 P.M. He got
- 21 out of bed and observed the fire.

22

- 23 W35
- 24 Charles Milton DARRALL (C. DARRALL)
- 25 3154 Hwy 128
- 26 Calistoga, CA 94515

27

- 28 DOB:
- 29 C. DARRALL can testify he heard a boom at approximately 10:15 P.M. and saw a glow
- 30 in the area of

31

LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

- 1 W36
- 2 Shannon Lynn DARRALL (S. DARRALL)
- 3 3154 Hwy 128
- 4 Calistoga, CA 94515
- 5
- 6 DOB:
- 7 S. DARRALL can testify she heard a boom at approximately 10:15 P.M. and saw a glow
- 8 in the area of
- W37
- 11 Charlie BROWN JR.
- 12 1200 Bennett Lane
- 13 Calistoga, CA 94515
- 14 DOB
- 15
- 16 BROWN JR can testify the electrical wiring leading from the house at
- to the viewing platform on the property was not active and has not been in use for
- 18 years.
- 20 W38

- 21 Katie SERENI
- 22 69 Lerner Drive
- 23 Calistoga, CA 94515
- 24 DOB:
- 25 SERENI can testify she observed a limb catch fire on powerlines adjacent to her
- 26 residence at 69 Lerner Drive prior to the Tubbs fire. The limb was extinguished and
- 27 there was no fire spread.
- 29 W39

- 30 Robert TRUMBALL
- 31 PG&E LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

Officer Initials

77 Beale Street 1 2 P.O. Box 415-973-1000 3 San Francisco, CA 94177 4 TRUMBALL assisted in the removal of smart meters on 5 W40 6 7 Daniel DAVIS 8 PG&E 9 77 Beale Street 10 P.O. Box 415-973-1000 11 San Francisco, CA 94177 12 DAVIS assisted in the removal of smart meters on 13 W41 14 15 Lee Palmer Deputy Director, Safety and Enforcement Division 16 17 California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue 18 19 San Francisco, CA 94102 20 415-703-2369 21 PALMER was present at on October 23, 2017 and observed the collection of PG&E equipment by CAL FIRE investigators. 22 23 24 W42 25 Raymond Cho 26 Utilities Engineer, Electric Safety Reliability Branch 27 505 Van Ness Avenue 28 San Francisco, CA 94102 29 415-703-2236 30 CHO was present at on October 23, 2017 and observed the

collection of PG&E equipment by CAL FIRE investigators.

18

31

LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

- 2 W43
- 3 James P FRANTZ
- 4 Frantz Law Group
- 5 3558 Round Barn Blvd, Suite 215
- 6 Santa Rosa, CA 95403
- 7 707-239-6316
- 8 FRANTZ can testify to two separate accounts of alternative origin areas for the Tubbs
- 9 Fire, determined by outside investigators.

11 Attachment E, Vicinity Map, identifies the location of witnesses and their proximity to

12

13

INVESTIGATORS:

15

14

- 16 John MARTINEZ (MARTINEZ)
- 17 CAL FIRE, Battalion Chief
- 18 6059 Hwy 9
- 19 Felton, CA 95018
- 20 831-254-1720

21

- 22 Mark FRITS
- 23 CAL FIRE, Battalion Chief
- 24 604 Antelope Blvd.
- 25 Red Bluff, CA 96080
- 26 530-528-5199

- 28 Mike THOMPSON (M. THOMPSON)
- 29 CAL FIRE, Battalion Chief
- 30 6105 Airport Road
- 31 Redding, CA 96002 LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)



1 530-226-3477

2

- 3 Scott LOHSE
- 4 CAL FIRE, Fire Captain
- 5 604 Antelope Blvd.
- 6 Red Bluff, CA 96080
- 7 530-528-5199

8

- 9 Vince BERGLAND
- 10 CAL FIRE, Battalion Chief
- 11 1234 E. Shaw
- 12 Fresno, CA 93710
- 13 559-243-4115

14

- 15 Greg ROATH
- 16 CAL FIRE, Fire Captain
- 17 1809 Fairlane Road
- 18 Yreka, CA 96097
- 19 530-842-3516

20

- 21 Matt FRANKLIN
- 22 CAL FIRE, Fire Captain
- 23 3800 N. Sierra Way
- 24 San Bernardino, CA 92405
- 25 909-881-6922

- 27 Jeremy MONROE
- 28 CAL FIRE, Deputy Chief
- 29 Sacramento Headquarters
- 30 State Threat Assessment Center (STAC)
- 31 916-636-2920 LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

- 2 Darren STEWART
- 3 CAL FIRE, Fire Captain
- 4 875 Cypress Avenue
- 5 Redding, CA 96001
- 6 530-225-2421

7

- 8 Kyle STEIS
- 9 CAL FIRE, Fire Captain
- 10 2210 West College Avenue
- 11 Santa Rosa, CA 95401
- 12 707-576-2341

13

- 14 Brandon BERTOLINO
- 15 CAL FIRE, Fire Captain
- 16 2210 West College Avenue
- 17 Santa Rosa, CA 95401
- 18 707-339-6618

19

4	-	-\/		NCE	
	~ -	F V	II 1	NI F	
	J -				

- 3 A series of photographs were taken and are included in the attachments section D.
- 4 Original photographs are stored as evidence on various media (DVD/SD card/thumb
- 5 drive).

6

- 7 A series of photographs were collected from witnesses and are contained as
- 8 attachments (see attachment D).

9

- 10 A series of video clips from fixed cameras and cellular phone was provided to and
- 11 collected by investigators. Video clips have been placed on a thumb and stored as
- 12 evidence.

13

- 14 Physical items of evidence were collected from the scene by Investigators. Refer to
- evidence log for a list of items collected (see attachment F).

1 6 - CONDITION(S):

2 Weather:

- 3 Weather was not recorded by on scene resources the night of October 8, due to all
- 4 responding resources commitment to the ongoing and developing fire emergency. For
- 5 this reason weather conditions were extracted from two CAL FIRE weather stations in
- 6 the area. Below are summaries of the Atlas Peak Remote Automated Weather Station
- 7 (RAWS) and the Santa Rosa RAWS for October 8, 2017. The Atlas Peak weather
- 8 station is at an elevation of 1934 feet and approximately 21 miles southeast from the
- 9 Tubbs Fire origin. The Santa Rosa weather station is at an elevation of 576 feet and
- approximately 10 miles southwest from the Tubbs Fire origin. On October 8, 2017 at
- 9:00 P.M. the temperature at the Atlas Peak RAWS was 62 degrees, winds were out of
- the north/northeast at 13 mph with gusts to 30 mph. On October 8, 2017 at 9:00 P.M.
- the temperature at the Santa Rosa RAWS was 62 degrees, winds were out of the
- 14 northeast at 15 mph with gusts to 41 mph.

Atlas Peak California

Daily Summary for

8-Oct-17

Hour of Day	Total Solar	-	Wind		Air Temperature	Fuel Temperature	Fuel Moisture	Relative Humidity	Dew	Wet	Total
Ending at	Rad.	Ave.	V. Dir.	Max.	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Point	Bulb	Precip.
L.S.T.	° ly.	mph	Deg	mph	Deg. F.	Deg. F.	Percent	Percent	De	g. F.	inches
1:00 AM	0	4	32	10	63	60	6.3	43	40	50	0
2:00 AM	0	5	26	8	63	60	6.8	45	41	51	0
3:00 AM	0	6	34	12	62	59	7	43	39	49	0
4:00 AM	0	4	68	13	61	58	7.1	39	36	48	0
5:00 AM	0	5	48	16	61	58	7.1	36	34	47	0
6:00 AM	0.3	6	49	13	62	58	7.3	35	34	47	0
7:00 AM	9.4	5	4	12	65	62	7.2	29	32	48	0
8:00 AM	26.8	8	359	14	65	. 68	7.2	29	32	48	0
9:00 AM	43.3	7	18	20	69	74	6.4	23	30	49	0
10:00 AM	56.9	10	12	21	71	77	6	21	29	49	0
11:00 AM	65.3	9	28	21	74	84	5.3	14	22	49	0
12:00 PM	68.5	10	20	23	74	84	4.7	13	20	48	0
1:00 PM	66.5	10	31	19	74	84	4.2	13	20	48	0
2:00 PM	58.8	10	30	21	74	83	3.9	14	22	49	0
3:00 PM	46.2	8	11	20	73	81	3.6	14	21	48	0
4:00 PM	30.3	5	5	18	71	76	3.5	15	21	47	0
5:00 PM	12.3	8	344	16	67	67	3.5	16	19	45	0
6:00 PM	0.4	9	0	19	64	62	3.7	16	17	43	0
7:00 PM	0	13	1	26	65	62	3.7	16	18	44	0
8:00 PM	0	11	29	32	64	62	3.7	15	15	43	0
9:00 PM	0	13	21	30	62	60	3.7	17	17	42	0
10:00 PM	0	13	14	28	62	59	3.9	16	15	42	0
11:00 PM	0	17	7	31	61	59	3.9	15	13	41	0
12:00 AM	0	14	18	32	60	58	3.9	16	14	41	0

Santa Rosa California

Daily Summary for

8-Oct-17

						ct-1/	,				
Hour of Day	Total		Wind		Air Tempera ture	Fuel Tempera ture		Relative Humidity	Dew	Wet	Total
Ending at	Rad.	Ave.	V. Dir.	Max.	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Point	Bulb	Precip.
L.S.T.	° ly.	mph	Deg	mph	Deg. F.	Deg. F.	Percent	Percent	Deg		inches
1:00 AM	0	3	12	10	58	55	6.7	64	46	51	0
2:00 AM	0	5	355	13	57	54	7.1	62	44	50	0
3:00 AM	0	4	12	14	56	54	7.4	61	43	48	0
4:00 AM	0	2	39	11	55	53	7.8	61	42	48	0
5:00 AM	0	6	4	14	56	53	8.1	58	41	48	0
6:00 AM	0.2	5	358	10	55	52	8.4	59	41	47	0
7:00 AM	7.2	2	303	11	59	60	8.5	52	41	49	0
8:00 AM	21.5	2	236	8	64	70	8.9	47	43	52	0
9:00 AM	34.1	3	286	9	69	79	8.7	36	41	53	0
10:00 AM	47.7	4	256	7	73	85	8	31	41	54	0
11:00 AM	55.9	4	233	9	77	89	7.3	27	40	56	0
12:00 PM	59.3	14	68	24	82	88	7.2	9	18	51	0
1:00 PM	56	8	65	19	84	94	6	6	10	51	0
2:00 PM	51	8	52	22	84	86	5.9	4	1	49	0
3:00 PM	30.3	7	47	16	83	85	5.4	5	5	50	0
4:00 PM	25.1	11	62	24	79	81	4.9	9	15	49	0
5:00 PM	9.4	10	55	27	75	73	4.7	12	19	48	0
6:00 PM	0.3	6	55	23	72	69	4.6	13	18	47	0
7:00 PM	0	5	37	21	72	68	4.6	14	20	47	0
8:00 PM	0	11	48	30	72	69	4.6	12	17	47	0
9:00 PM	0	15	52	41	71	69	4.6	11	14	46	0
10:00 PM	0	14	41	40	71	69	4.5	11	14	46	0
11:00 PM	0	24	58	51	70	69	4.5	11	13	45	0
12:00 AM	0	24	59	53	70	69	4.4	11	13	45	0

1

3

5

6 7

8

10

1	7 –	EQL	JIPM	ENT:
•	•			


- 3 Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E) and privately owned electrical equipment was collected
- 4 as evidence. Refer to evidence log (see attachment F) for a list of items collected.

1 8 - PROPERTY: 2 3 The Tubbs Fire originated on the following property: 4 APN: 5 6 Address: 7 Owner: 8 Mailing Address: 9 10 The property is an approximately 10.5-acre parcel situated in Napa 11 County, approximately three miles north of Calistoga. 12 from both Hwy 128 and Tubbs Lane. The entrance to the property is from a paved driveway off Bennett Lane identified by an address marker labeled "1128." The 13 14 surrounding area is rural residential setting and homes on larger parcels surrounded by mixed oak and conifer woodlands and vineyards. The 15 property is largely undeveloped except for the upper slopes where the residence and associated 16 17 outbuildings were located. The driveway continues up a south facing slope through oak woodlands, until it reaches a large one-half acre clearing below and east of the primary 18 19 residence. Within the clearing, there are scattered conifers and oaks and little to no 20 ground vegetation. Also within the clearing are both PG&E and privately owned utility 21 poles. 22 The driveway continues past the cleared area and leads to the upper elevation of the 23 property (approximately 550-feet elevation) where the residence and associated 24 structures sit on top of a hill. The primary residence is located at the end of the 25 driveway. Northwest of the residence is a wine cellar with an attached bedroom. 26 Immediately west of the wine cellar is a swimming pool with an attached open 27 construction pool patio. West of the residence is an open carport and garage. According to accessor parcel data, the residence was built in 1946. The electrical 28 distribution associated with the property includes PG&E service from 29 uphill to the residence, by service drop to a weather head on the roof. From there, 30 exterior conduit connects to the meter. From the meter, conduit carries conductor to a 31 LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) 27 Officer Initials M

1 privately-owned power pole (private pole 3). From private pole 3, conductor extends 2 north west towards the wine cellar and pool patio. Additionally, from private pole 3, 3 conductor extends southeast towards private pole 2, then to private pole 1 where the 4 water tanks and pump station are located. From private pole 1, conductor extends 5 downhill where it ties into a well next to Bennett Lane. The graphic below includes a

6 general representation of the developed property at with a general


7 schematic of the electrical system.



28

3 The graphic below includes a general representation of the private electrical system at

4 prepared by ROATH.



5 6

^	A I A		A 7	\ /F
u _	NIA	N.	Δ	VE:

- 2 On the evening of Sunday, October 8, 2017, a red flag warning was in effect throughout
- 3 the North Bay including Napa County. Temperatures that evening were in the 60's to
- 4 lower 70's, with humidity in the 10-18% range. Wind models prepared by CAL FIRE
- 5 Northern Operations predictive services later estimated ridgetop winds could have
- 6 reached 55 to 90 mph (see attachment A). At approximately 9:41 P.M., M. HICKMAN, a
- 7 resident of Calistoga, CA reported a fire occurring in the area of Hwy 128 and Tubbs
- 8 Road (see attachment B). The fire was named the Tubbs Fire and eventually burned
- 9 36,807 acres in both Napa and Sonoma Counties and destroyed 5636 structures
- including 4651 residential structures, 94 commercial properties and 891 outbuildings.
- 11 The fire damaged an additional 230 residential structures, 22 commercial structures and
- 12 65 outbuildings (see attachment C). The Tubbs Fire included 22 civilian fatalities.

14

1


Monday October 9, 2017

15

- On Monday, October 9, 2017 at approximately 1:00 A.M., CAL FIRE Battalion Chief
- 17 Mark FRITS responded from Red Bluff to the Tubbs Fire to conduct an origin and cause
- 18 investigation. Due to the high number of fires in Sonoma and Napa Counties, there
- 19 were no local Investigators available to respond to the Tubbs Fire on the evening of
- 20 October 8, 2017. FRITS arrived in the area of Bennett Lane and Hwy 128 at
- 21 approximately 9:20 A.M., when he began walking and observing fire pattern indicators
- 22 along Sometime after 9:20 A.M., FRITS was contacted by Ron RISI (R.
- 23 RISI) who lives at
- 24 with R. RISI (see attachment G, FRITS):

25

- 26 R. RISI was contacted by his neighbor Shawn THOMPSON (S. THOMPSON) sometime
- 27 after 9:00 P.M., who told him there was a fire and to get out of the house. R. RISI
- exited his property and turned north on . R. RISI noticed the fire was to
- 29 the south of his residence on the west side of
- 30 far down on or how close to his residence the fire was.





2 FRITS then spoke with Roger LUTZ (R. LUTZ) who works for the Deer Park Volunteer

3 Fire Department at Station 21. R. LUTZ told FRITS the following in summary (see

attachment G, FRITS):

5

6

7

10

11

12

4

1

R. LUTZ arrived on scene on Engine 221 and began cooling down power poles on the

west side of towards the north. He worked to the driveway of

the fire had not yet spread north to the driveway. When he

9 accessed the residence at , the fire was backing downhill from the

southeast toward the rear of the residence. The fire was also backing to the northeast

on the northwest side of the driveway. Once the fire became established in the draw

below the residence he left the scene. R. LUTZ was provided a LE-78 witness

13 statement which he completed on October 9, 2017 (see attachment H).

14

1	
3	
4	While at the intersection of and Hwy 128, FRITS spoke with Calistoga
5	Fire Department Fire Captain Jason TAMAGNI, assigned to Engine 419, who told him
6	the following (see attachment G, FRITS):
7	
8	TAMAGNI told FRITS when he arrived at scene on October 8, 2017, that the fire was
9	spreading from the north of Bennett Lane to the south of Hwy 128 at a rapid rate of
10	spread. TAMAGNI completed a LE-78 witness statement on October 9, 2017. Also
11	present with TAMAGNI on the engine was Jaime OROZCO who also provided a LE-78
12	witness statement (see attachment H).
13	
14	FRITS continued observing fire pattern indicators on the north side of
16	and rear of the residence. FRITS continued observing advancing fire pattern indicators
17	leading him to the partially fire damaged carport on the
18	
19	On October 9, 2017, at approximately 11:00 A.M., I was dispatched to the Sonoma
20	Lake Napa Unit (LNU) to assist the Unit in a manner which was not yet determined
21	when I responded.
22	
23	On October 9, 2017 at approximately 2:30 P.M., I arrived in Napa County and
24	responded to the Tubbs Fire where I contacted FRITS, who was continuing his
25	investigation and had yet to determine a General Origin Area (GOA) for the fire. FRITS
26	briefed me verbally on the witness statements provided by R. RISI, S. THOMPSON, R.
27	LUTZ, and TAMAGNI, which placed the fire origin north of
28	southwest of Based on the initial witness statements, FRITS
29	hypothesized the fire may have originated from the
30	I walked the fire edge with him and discussed the reasons for his determination. I
31	observed fire pattern indicators such as angle of char, curling, and white ash LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) 33 Officer Initials

1	accumulation on the protected side (due to high winds), indicating the fire generally
2	backed down slope, against the wind towards the west side of
3	northeast direction. I also observed advancing fire pattern indicators south of the
4	residence, above . Angle of char and needle freeze could be
5	observed in the canopies of pine trees and on lower ground vegetation. These
6	observations are consistent with S. THOMPSONS statement describing the fire
7	advancing from the Lane towards his residence at . It
8	was still very early in the investigation and FRITS and I were still becoming familiar with
9	the overall area based on limited witness statements and had not taken any
10	photographs or placed any colored pin flags identifying fire pattern indicators observed.
11	
12	After considering FRITS' initial observations, early witness statements and my own
13	observations, I concurred the GOA was likely located on or adjacent to the property
14	identified as 1128 Bennett Lane. FRITS flagged the entrance to the driveway of
	led "DO NOT
17	ENTER".
18	
19	FRITS and I designated an approximate one-half acre dirt field adjacent to the
20	pump station, as a parking and meeting location. The field was void of
21	ground vegetation and parking vehicles in it would not impact the investigation. There
22	was no private security available to keep people off the property, so FRITS remained at
23	overnight. Starting on October 10, 2017 and throughout the entirety
24	of the investigation, Brothers In Arms Security maintained scene security, and were
25	instructed to not allow anyone access, from the time the investigation team left in the
26	evening until they returned the next morning, each day until investigators released the
27	scene at approximately 5:00 PM on October 25, 2017. Each and every morning, it was
28	confirmed with the security guard that no persons had entered the property between the
29	time the investigation team left and returned.

•	
2	On Tuesday, October 10, 2017, I returned to
3	approximately 8:00 A.M. I met with FRITS and we began placing fire pattern indicator
4	flags. Colored pin flags were placed at the observed fire pattern indicators, including
5	red for advancing fire, blue for backing and yellow for lateral spread. Photographs
6	referenced in this section were not taken until later, however, they have been used to
7	reference our observations. FRITS and I entered the burned area on the hillside on the
8	northside of walked parallel to the road. I observed fire pattern
9	indicators showing fire backing downhill towards in a northeast trending
10	direction. This was evident from cupping (see attachment D, MARTINEZ photograph: P-
11	JM-108), ash accumulation on leeward side (see attachment D, MARTINEZ
12	photograph: P-JM-112), leaf curling and staining on rocks (see attachment D,
13	MARTINEZ photograph: P-JM-107). Also observed was needle freeze angled towards
14	the southwest indicating strong winds out of the northeast. These fire pattern indicators
15	were consistent along the bottom of the hillside as well as continuing uphill towards the
16	. We walked back and forth along the hillside while
17	working uphill in a serpentine pattern, observing fire pattern indicators until we arrived at
18	a developed area containing a water pump station, near where we had parked. The
19	developed area was along the right side of the
20	approximately two-thirds up towards the residence. Within the developed area next to
21	the driveway I observed (2) water tanks and water pump system (pump station). One
22	was a newer looking water tank and pump and one was as an older looking cement
23	tank. In addition, I observed a 4-inch by 4-inch wooden post approximately 6-feet in
24	height with (2) grey metal electrical boxes attached to it, one approximately 6-inches by
25	8-inches, and the other approximately 12-inches by 18-inches. Approximately 30-feet
26	away there was a utility pole (private pole 1), which didn't appear to be a PG&E pole
27	due to its appearance. The conductor associated with the pole did not appear
28	professionally installed (see attachment D, MARTINEZ photograph: P-JM-35). The pole
29	did not appear to be related to the PG&E pole 3 observed in the cleared area to the
30	west where our vehicles were parked. The conductor from private pole 1 continued
31	downhill towards LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) and uphill towards the residence. Officer Initials

1	The conductor which extends downhill towards connects to a switch box
2	on an oak tree where it appeared to provide power to an old well. This area was
3	flagged off earlier by FRITS on October 9 prior to identifying fire burn patterns in the
4	area.
5	
6	There were various lengths of conductor and structural wiring associated with the
7	wooden post and private pole 1, much of it under tension from a tree which had fallen in
8	the immediate area. Fire behavior in this area appeared to be low intensity (see
9	attachment D, MARTINEZ photograph: P-JM-42), with the exception being the wooden
10	post and private pole 1. The lower half of the wooden post was partially burned,
11	however the section of post near the electrical boxes was heavily charred (see
12	attachment D, MARTINEZ photograph: P-JM-19). An oak tree had fallen on the post
13	breaking it just below the electrical box. Closer examination of the tree indicated it had
14	fallen after the fire, due to the absence of any fire damage to the tree, specifically
15	undamaged foliage in contact with the ground (see attachment D, MARTINEZ
16	photograph: P-JM-MF-64). The wooden post and electrical boxes were discussed as
17	potential competent ignition sources, and were candidates to be reviewed by an
18	electrical engineer. Observations by an electrical engineer will be discussed later.
19	
20	We walked south from the clearing where we parked, along the edge of the property
21	where it broke in slope and noted advancing fire pattern indicators such as angle of char
22	and needle freeze on pine trees, below the carport and to the area immediately to the
23	east, indicating fire progression from the north, in line with the winds the night of the fire
24	(see attachment D, MARTINEZ photograph: P-JM-127): This is consistent with S.
25	THOMPSONS witness statement. We then walked the area north of the
26	, in a serpentine pattern towards the property and
27	observed fire pattern indicators on vegetation and rocks including angle of char, white
28	ash, and staining. These indicators showed the fire both backed and laterally moved
29	northwest behind the residence towards the wine cellar and pool area before backing
30	towards
21	

1 FRITS and I considered the general fire pattern indicators in the area, and requested an electrical engineer to review the electrical system at the water tanks and pump station. 2 3 4 FRITS and I considered this area as a possible GOA, however the surrounding property 5 had not yet been reviewed in detail. In order to maintain the integrity of the scene we flagged off an area with yellow "do not enter" flagging approximately 50-feet by 50-feet 6 7 to include the pump station and private pole 1. At approximately 2:20 P.M., I 8 photographed both the perimeter and interior of the flagged area (see attachment D. 9 MARTINEZ photographs: P-JM-1 thru P- JM-25). 10 11 I began photographing the surrounding area, including between Hwv 128 12 and the . (see attachment D, MARTINEZ photographs: P-13 JM-MF-01 thru P-JM-MF-79). 14 15 At approximately 3:30 P.M. electrical engineer Marc RHODES arrived at 16 We were interested in having him do an initial examination of the area associated 17 with the pump station. RHODES said he didn't see anything that stood out to him, and thought the electrical boxes were closed tight enough where, even if there was a 18 19 problem inside, it wouldn't have been hot enough to ignite the wooden post. RHODES 20 also inspected private pole 1 and the associated electrical conductor. RHODES 21 observed what he thought was evidence of arcing on a conductor near the top of the 22 pole on a section of conductor continuing downhill to Utilizing both 23 binoculars and a camera, we agreed there appeared to be a small piece of shiny 24 material on a section of conductor from the pole that continued downhill towards 25 Bennett Lane. I took photographs in an attempt to observe the material from the ground 26 (see attachment D, MARTINEZ photographs: P-JM-26 thru P-JM-35). 27 After inspecting private pole 1, we followed the conductor extending downhill to a 28 29 switchbox on a large oak tree next to and near a roadside PG&E pole 30 (PG&E pole 1). I observed the switchbox on the oak tree to be in the "off" position. RHODES inspected the box and confirmed it was in the off position (see attachment D, 31 Officer Initials M LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) 37

1	MARTINEZ photograph: P-JM-cellular-05). The inside of the box was severely
2	damaged from fire. RHODES left the scene at approximately 6:15 P.M. RHODES
3	became unavailable and did not return to the scene or prepare a report.
4	
5	Wednesday, October 11, 2017
6	
7	On Wednesday, October 11, 2017, I returned to the
8	approximately 8:00 A.M. Prior to my arriving at scene, FRITS drove to Wheeler Farms
9	at and spoke with Luis VARGAS. The following is a summary of the
10	conversation:
11	
12	VARGAS lives on the Wheeler Farms property at
13	of October 8, 2017 at an unknown time, VARGAS went outside his house and noticed
14	fire to the south of Bennett Lane. The fire had not yet burned onto the Wheeler Farm.
15	The wind was erratic and very gusty. VARGAS said the fire burned to the north on the
16	east side of the property and then wrapped around the property to the west.
17	
18	After meeting with VARGAS, FRITS returned to telephoned M.
19	HICKMAN who told FRITS the following in summary (see attachment G, FRITS):
20	
21	On the evening of October 8, 2017, M. HICKMAN was at her residence at 3381 Hwy
22	128 when her daughter told her she saw a bright light and then an orange glow through
23	the window. M. HICKMAN looked out her bathroom window and she could see the fire
24	through the trees to the north of her residence.
25	
26	At approximately 1:30 P.M., FRITS was released from the Tubbs Fire due to a
27	previously planned commitment. I was assigned the role of lead investigator for the
28	Tubbs Fire.
29	
30	CAL FIRE Battalion Chief Mike THOMPSON (M. THOMPSON) arrived at scene and
31	assisted me with continuing the origin and cause investigation. I briefed M. LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) 38 Officer Initials

1 THOMPSON on the progress to date. We walked downhill in a serpentine pattern 2 between the pump station and , observing fire pattern indicators. M. 3 THOMPSON inspected the switchbox on the oak tree and determined it was no longer 4 in service, and may have been related to an older system. After walking the powerlines 5 on the property, we determined the power supply for the newer pump station came directly from the residence. The pump switch adjacent to the water tanks was in the 6 7 "on" position. Mike ANDREWS, the maintenance person for the property, later 8 confirmed the pump was always turned "on" and was operational. 9 10 At approximately 2:40 P.M. I took photographs of private pole 1 and the surrounding 11 area (see attachment D, MARTINEZ photographs: P-JM-36 thru P-JM-46). 12 13 We then continued identifying fire pattern indicators such as staining (see attachment D. 14 MARTINEZ photographs: P-JM-68 and P-JM-69) and protection (see attachment D. 15 MARTINEZ photographs: P-JM-70) within the flagged water tank/pump station area. 16 immediately north of the electrical boxes. We continued identifying fire pattern 17 indicators working our way from the edge of the flagged area in towards private pole 1 18 and the electrical boxes. Backing indicators such as cupping were observed adjacent to the cement water tank (see attachment D, MARTINEZ photograph: P-JM-73). 19 20 Advancing indicators such as staining was observed south of the electrical boxes (see 21 attachment D, MARTINEZ photographs: P-JM-74 and P-JM-75). We noted the top of 22 private pole 1 had more fire damage than the lower portion (see attachment D. 23 MARTINEZ photographs: P-JM-35 thru P-JM-40). The high level of damage to private 24 pole 1 appeared out of place considering the low intensity burn to ground fuels in the 25 immediate area. 26 27 Fire pattern indicators were limited in the area, due to the approximate one-half acre 28 cleared area northwest of the pump station (see attachment D. MARTINEZ photographs: P-JM-MF-43 thru P-JM-MF 44). Lack of fire pattern indicators in the 29 immediate area made it difficult to determine with complete certainty whether this was 30 the GOA. However, considering RHODES opinion of having observed possible arcing 31 LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) 39 Officer Initials M

1	on conductor associated with private pole 1, I had to include this area as a potential
2	GOA. Based on this, we discussed the need to have a second electrical engineer re-
3	examine both the electrical boxes and the conductor associated with private pole 1. I
4	requested through CAL FIRE Assistant Chief Shawn ZIMMERMAKER, a truck with a
5	boom so I could closely observe the conductor on private pole 1. I was advised a boom
6	truck would be available the next day.
7	
8	Thursday, October 12, 2017
9	
10	On Thursday, October 12, 2017, I returned to at approximately 8:00
11	A.M. and continued working in the flagged area at the pump station. I photographed the
12	areas immediately surrounding the electrical boxes and private pole 1 (see attachment
13	D, MARTINEZ photographs P- JM-47 thru P-JM-79). CAL FIRE Fire Captain Scott
14	LOHSE arrived at the incident at 9:15 A.M. to assist with the investigation. He was
15	briefed on the investigation and then walked the property to become familiar with it and
16	to make independent observations.
17	
18	At approximately 10:30 A.M. a Britton Tree Services, Inc truck with boom and crew
19	arrived on scene. Their truck was equipped with a bucket extension allowing me to
20	observe private pole 1. When they arrived on scene I briefed them on their assignment.
21	I assigned them to assist in the removal of the tree which had fallen on the electrical
22	boxes in the pump station area.
23	
24	Using the tree service boom and bucket, I was lifted to private pole 1 and was able to
25	examine and photograph from a closer vantage point, (see attachment D, MARTINEZ
26	photographs P-JM-80 thru P-JM-88). I did not observe any obvious evidence of arcing
27	on any of the wiring associated with the pole. The "shiny" material identified earlier by
28	RHODES as possible evidence of arcing, appeared to be melted and discolored yellow
29	jacketed conductor, having the appearance of being shiny. It would later be collected
30	as evidence (evidence items E-35, E-36, and E-37).
31	

7	At approximately 1:00 P.M. I photographed and took waypoints of colored fire pattern
2	indicator pin flags placed by myself and FRITS (see attachment D, MARTINEZ
3	photographs P-JM-91 thru P-JM-114, referenced earlier in report).
4	
5	At approximately 5:00 P.M., I carefully searched the area immediately below the
6	electrical box and post. As I searched the approximate 2-foot area surrounding the 4-
7	inch by 4-inch post using a magnet, I located a small piece of golden colored metal. It
8	did not appear to be melted. The metal piece, or wire fragment was found
9	approximately 8 inches from the post, to the north. I photographed and collected the
10	item as evidence (Evidence item "A"). Later, to be consistent with our overall evidence
11	collection standards, it was renamed Evidence Item 38 (see attachment D, MARTINEZ
12	photographs P-JM-116 and P-JM-117).
13	
14	Friday, October 13, 2017
15	
16	On Friday October 13, 2017, I returned to at approximately 7:45
17	A.M. and met with LOHSE. I tasked LOHSE with contacting and interviewing potential
18	witnesses to the incident. At approximately 9:15 A.M. I met with the CAL FIRE Light
19	Detection and Ranging (LIDAR) team Survey Party Chief David KAROLY. I briefed
20	KAROLY and his team on their assignment. I asked them to document our current
21	scene, to include the flagged area, the associated PG&E and private utility poles and
22	the southern aspect of the residence on the property. I asked them to capture as much
23	of the north facing hill-side between the pump station and which would
24	include the electrical system from the residence to
25	
26	At 10:06 A.M., LOHSE contacted YANT by phone. YANT provided photographs via text
27	message of when she received a report of the fire (see attachment D, LOHSE
28	Photographs P-SL-332 thru P-SL-334).
29	
30	At 10:23 A.M., LOHSE interviewed via cellular
31	phone. LESO (Rev. 7/2011) 41 Officer Initials
	THE THIRD CALLED

October 8, 2017

17CALNU010045


Tubbs Incident

1	JM-122 JM thru P-JM-127).
2	
3	ZIMMERMAKER arrived at scene at approximately 1:00 P.M. to check on our needs
4	and the progress of the investigation. I requested an electrical engineer to re-examine
5	the pump station and private pole 1 as a potential ignition source.
6	ZIMMEDMAKED advised as about News Delice Department Office Association
7	ZIMMERMAKER advised me about Napa Police Department Officer Amy HUNTER,
8	who may have had information on a suspicious person reported to be in the area the
9	day of the fire. I would contact her the following day. LIDAR completed their scanning
10 11	at approximately 6:00 P.M. and we all left the scene.
12	At 10:30 P.M. LOHSE interviewed CAL FIRE Battalion Chief Gino DEGRAFFERIED via
13	cellular phone. DEGRAFFERIED was the CAL FIRE Battalion Chief on scene of the
14	Tubbs Fire. He estimated he arrived at Bennett Lane and Hwy 128 approximately 20
15	minutes after the initial dispatch (approximately 10:00 P.M.). DEGRAFFERIED
16	estimated the winds to be approximately 40 miles per hour with gusts at approximately
17	50 miles per hour. He estimated the fire was approximately 100-feet wide upon his
18	arrival and was backing to the north. He was positive it started east of Hwy 128
19	because it could not have backed against the wind to the west and across Hwy 128. He
20	provided a google image with a drawing showing his estimation of the fire size when he
21	arrived (see attachment D, LOHSE photographs: P-SL-343).
22	
23	Saturday, October 14, 2017
24	
25	On Saturday, October 14, 2017, I returned to 1128 Bennett Lane at approximately 7:45
26	A.M. I was advised by ZIMMERMAKER that electrical engineer Jim NOLT and a truck
27	with a boom would be arriving at 1128 Bennett Lane later in the morning to re-examine
28	the electrical boxes near the pump station and the conductor associated with private
29	pole 1.
30	
31	LOHSE met with Calistoga Fire Department Fire Captain Jason TAMAGNI at 10:00 LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) 43 Officer Initials

1	A.M. TAMAGNI told LOHSE the following in summary (see attachment G, LOHSE):
3	TAMAGNI and Engineer Jaime OROZCO were the first fire agency personnel to arrive
4	on scene on Engine 419, after the arrival of Calistoga Police Department Officer
5	HOSKINS. HOSKINS arrived at approximately 9:48 P.M. HOSKINS told TAMAGNI the
6	fire was approximately 3 acres in size with a rapid rate of spread. From Hwy 128 and
7	the fire did not appear to be on the east side
8	was moving rapidly to the southwest. LOHSE explained to TAMAGNI what
9	DEGRAFFERIED had told him about the fire being 100-feet wide. TAMAGNI
10	commented on DEGRAFFERIED's statement, stating the fire was further up the hill at
11	Hwy 128 and appeared to be coming down and sideways along the
12	hill from the northeast to the southwest. The structure at
13	by fire when he arrived. The northeast side of the fire had not yet reached the driveway
14	The northeast side of the fire was burning slowly against the
15	wind, perpendicular to
16	
17	A boom truck arrived at approximately 10:30 A.M. and was put on standby. NOLT
18	arrived at approximately 11:30 A.M. I briefed NOLT on the investigation and
19	accompanied him while he inspected the site. NOLT conducted an assessment of the
20	electrical system on the property, including the residence and all utility poles on the
21	property. NOLT's initial impression of the residential structure and associated electrical
22	components was there was little he could comment on due to the high degree of
23	damage from the fire. NOLT noted the presence of melted aluminum and copper
24	suggesting temperatures in excess of 1900 degrees Fahrenheit. NOLT did not observe
25	any obvious problems with any of the public utility lines which were not consumed by
26	the fire. There were signs of melted conductor observed near the backside of the
27	primary residence, however, there was no evidence of arcing observed.
28	
29	NOLT inspected the electrical boxes at pump station and private pole 1. NOLT
30	inspected both the interior and exterior of the electrical box and did not observe any
31	evidence of electrical failure. Upon seeing the interior of the electrical box, he stated it LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) 44 Officer Initials

was "baked", explaining the interior damage to the box appeared to be the result of 1 2 external heat from the vegetation fire. Additionally, he did not observe signs of arcing 3 on the conductors associated with private pole 1. NOLT left the scene at approximately 1:00 P.M. In a report provided by NOLT, he describes the electrical hardware in this 4 5 area, including the electrical boxes and associated conductor, as showing secondary 6 damage from fire and/or heat, but not from electrical activity such as arcing (see 7 attachment Q). 8 9 After speaking with NOLT, and determining there was no evidence supporting an 10 electrical ignition source at the pump station or private pole 1, I continued with 11 expanding the scope of the investigation to include the entire property. Additional investigators were requested to assist with the origin and cause investigation. 12 13 ZIMMERMAKER advised additional investigators would respond to assist as they 14 became available. 15 At 2:10 P.M., I spoke with HUNTER with the Napa Police Department. She had 16 information about an individual named Tyler FUNES, who was picked up hitchhiking the 17 day of the fire. He was picked up near Silverado Trail and Middletown Road around 18 7:00 P.M. According to HUNTER, he is known to start campfires. He told the persons 19 who picked him up he had been hiking at Mt. Saint Helena State Park during the day. 20 21 The location and time FUNES was picked up is inconsistent with the start time of the fire. There were no indicators of a camp fire in the GOA, therefore FUNES was 22 eliminated as a person of interest in the TUBBS Fire. 23 24 At 5:45 P.M., I contacted Dale HOSKINS with the Calistoga Police Department, who 25 was the initial first responder to arrive at the scene of the fire. HOSKINS told me the 26 27 following in summary: 28 29 HOSKINS was dispatched to a report of a fire on Lerner Drive in Calistoga, and arrived at Lerner Drive to find the fire extinguished. Already in the area, he heard the dispatch 30 for a report of a fire on Hwy 128 cross of Tubbs Lane. Lerner Drive is approximately 2.5 31 LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) 45 Officer Initials

1	miles from responded to the area and could see a large
2	glow. He updated the location of the fire to Hwy 128 and Bennett Lane, arriving there at
3	9:48 P.M. Upon arrival, HOSKINS immediately took a photograph using his cellular
4	phone from Hwy 128 and looking towards the hillside below the 1110
5	Bennett Lane residence (see attachment D, HOSKINS photograph, and photograph: P-
6	JM-cellular-04 and, Comparison Photo 1). The image shows fire on both sides of Hwy
7	128 as well as fire appearing to be backing in a line towards the northeast below the
8	residence. The fire had not crossed to the south side of Bennett
9	Lane, nor had it backed to the driveway to
0	would provide a Calistoga Police Department report of his actions the night of October
11	8, 2017 (see attachment I).
12	
13	Sunday, October 15, 2017
14	
15	On Sunday, October 15, 2017, I returned to at 7:30 A.M. CAL FIRE
16	Battalion Chief Vince BERGLAND, CAL FIRE Fire Captain Matt FRANKLIN and M.
17	THOMPSON arrived at approximately 8:00 A.M. to assist with the
18	investigation. BERGLAND and FRANKLIN were briefed on the investigation and then
19	together we walked the property to familiarize them with area.
20	
21	At approximately 9:00 A.M., I spoke with Roger LUTZ (R. LUTZ) who works for Deer
22	Park Volunteer Fire Department via cellular phone. On October 18, 2017, I met with R.
23	LUTZ at Bennett Lane and Hwy 128. R. LUTZ told me the following in summary (see
24	attachment G, MARTINEZ):
25	
26	R. LUTZ was assigned to E221 on the night of October 8, 2017. R. LUTZ estimated he
27	arrived at scene of the Tubbs Fire on October 8, 2017 between 10:00 and 10:05 P.M.
28	He was advised by the Incident Commander (IC), Greg BERTELLI, to perform structure
29	protection at LUTZ drove from Hwy 128 northeast on
30	towards fire was backing south above Bennett Lane towards
31	1200 Bennett Lane, and was approximately halfway between





North of the YANT residence, BERGLAND observed a power pole and transformer with damage. He secured the area with barrier tape and stood by. The pole was immediately behind the residence of 3468 Hwy 128. BERGLAND then accompanied M. THOMPSON to meet with witness Cigi RICH who lives _______, who had taken photographs of the Tubbs Fire at approximately 9:46 P.M. from her deck (see attachment D, RICH photographs P-CG-001 & 002). The photographs ruled out the power pole BERGLAND had observed at 3468 Hwy 128, as an ignition source due to no fire observed near the area of the power pole.

Monday, October 16, 2017

On Monday, October 16, 2017, I returned to at 8:00 A.M. LOHSE, FRANKLIN, and BERGLAND began observing and placing fire pattern indicator colored pin flags on the slopes below the and facilities. I walked between the

Tubbs Incident October 8, 2017 17CALNU010045

Investigators and assisted. M. THOMPSON arrived a short time later and assisted the 1 2 investigation team. Colored pin flags were placed at the observed fire pattern 3 indicators, including red for advancing fire, blue for backing and yellow for lateral 4 spread. 5 6 I walked between the and the hillside, in a serpentine 7 pattern above the residence between LOHSE and BERGLAND. 8 While walking the area I observed fire pattern indicators such as angle of char, wind 9 driven foliage freeze (see attachment D, ROATH, photographs: IMG-0099 thru IMG-10 0101), staining (see attachment D, ROATH, photographs: IMG-0053 thru IMG-0060) and white ash deposits. These macro scale indicators showed fire progressing 11 12 southwest and downhill towards YANT and THOMPSON properties. Observations 13 made on October 13, 2017 showed an advancing fire vector progressing southwest from the developed area of Fire pattern indicators including needle 14 15 freeze and angle of char were observed (see attachment D, MARTINEZ photographs: 16 P-JM-122 JM thru P-JM-127). Based on fire pattern indicators, it shows the that once the fire advanced to the top of the bowl above the YANT residence, it backed northerly 17 towards the PERLISS and OKELLY residence, and spread laterally northwesterly 18 19 towards the JACKSON property and Hwy 128. 20 21 I walked in a serpentine pattern between the THOMPSON property east to 22 property on the lower slopes above l observed fire 23 patterns indicators such as cupping (see attachment D, ROATH, photograph; IMG-0061 24 thru IMG-0063) and staining on rocks (see attachment D, ROATH, photographs: IMG-25 0064 thru IMG-0069), curling and angle of char (see attachment D, ROATH, 26 photographs: IMG-0046 thru IMG-0048), indicating the fire progressed laterally to the 27 southeast until it came into alignment with the wind where the fire began backing 28 towards the northeast into the wind. A photograph taken by HOSKINS at Hwy 128 and 29 illustrates this fire progression, showing the fire transition from a lateral 30 vector to a backing (see attachment D, LOHSE, photograph: P-SL-295) Backing 31 indicators continue to be observed on the west slopes above , consistent LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) Officer Initials 1M 49

1 with observations made by myself and FRITS on October 9 and 10, 2017. 2 3 I returned to the top of the hill at then walked in a serpentine 4 pattern, the area between the developed structures at , and the 5 PERLISS and OKELLY properties. As noted earlier, when the fire reached the top of 6 the bowl above the YANT residence, it backed northerly towards the PERLISS and 7 OKELLY residence at . Fire pattern indicators such as staining. 8 cupping and ash deposits on the leeward side were observed on the hillside leading towards the PERLISS and OKELLY residences (see attachment D, MARTINEZ 9 photographs: P-JM-MF-55 and JM-MF-56 and ROATH photographs: IMG-0082 thru 10 11 IMG-0084). I believe once the fire reached the northeast side of 12 came into alignment with the wind, and spread to the southwest, making some advancing runs on the hillside southwest of (see attachment D. 13 LOHSE photograph: P-SL-21 thru P-SL-24). Fire pattern indicators such as staining 14 15 (see attachment D, ROATH, photographs: IMG-0091 and IMG-0092) and cupping were 16 observed immediately to the north of the residence. There were both lateral and 17 backing fire vectors in alignment with the residence. I believe this indicates the fire backed behind (north) the and towards the pool house 18 19 structure, where a backing vector continued downhill towards the 20 residence as described above. I walked counter clockwise, from the slopes below the 21 pool house area on the developed area until I came back to the northside of the 22 residence. As I walked I examined the investigation team findings, and determined the 23 GOA was likely to include the primary residence at and the area 24 adjacent to it. 25 26 At approximately 2:00 P.M., ANDREWS was asked to come to the 27 property and was interviewed again by LOHSE. ANDREWS walked with myself and LOHSE through the property and based on his descriptions, LOHSE prepared sketches 28 of the structures on the property (see attachment E). The following is a summary of the 29 30 interview (see attachment G, LOHSE): 31

During the interview, ANDREWS was asked about a large hole near the northeast 1 2 corner of the primary residence. ANDREWS stated it was the location of a telephone 3 pole, present before the fire (referred to as private pole 3 in this report). When asked 4 about its condition, ANDREWS stated it was "just about to be replaced because it had been wood-peckered so damn bad, but it was here and it was holding". The pole was 5 supposed to be replaced in the spring. ANDREWS did not know when the pole was 6 7 installed, and said it was there when the property was purchased in 1973. When asked 8 why it was being replaced he said, "well, because we were afraid of it falling over". ANDREWS stated everything on the property was electrically powered, and there was 9 10 no gas on the property. Every electrical box on the house was in use and the power 11 was always on. Trees on the property were pruned approximately two and a half year ago. When asked if there were any problems with trees knocking down electrical lines, 12 13 ANDREWS said they've never taken out lines but did make them sag. 14 I obtained a digital record showing CAL FIRE performed a defensible space inspection 15 approximately on July 25, 2015, which identified (2) violations including; A. Remove all 16 17 branches within 10 feet of any stovepipe or chimney outlet, and H., Live flammable ground cover less than 18 inches in height may remain, but overhanging and adjacent 18 19 trees must be pruned to a height of 6 to 15 feet. A planned re-inspection date of 20 September 10, 2015 was identified in the digital record, however it is unclear if it 21 occurred and if so, the results were not included (see attachment K). I requested 22 additional defensible space inspection records from LNU CAL FIRE Battalion Chief Joe 23 BALDWIN and CAL FIRE Deputy Chief Steven HAWKS. No additional records were 24 found. 25 ANDREWS provided a series of photographs of the property from 2013, 2016 and the 26 27 morning of October 9, 2017 prior to arrival of FRITS (see attachment D, LOHSE 28 photographs P-SL-307 thru P-SL-331). 29 30 FRANKLIN interviewed Nicholaus LUTZ (N. LUTZ), with Deer Park Volunteer Fire Department. The following is a summary of the interview (see attachment G, 31 Officer Initials LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) 51

	Tubbs Incident	October 8, 2017	17CALNU010045
1	FRANKLIN):		
2			
3	N. LUTZ responded to t	he Tubbs Fire on Engine 21 at app	roximately 11:15 P.M.,
4	arriving at Bennett Lane	e and Hwy 128 at approximately 11	:30 P.M. The fire was
5	backing to the north alo	ng Bennett Lane. The fire had not	yet reached
6	Lane. At 12:00 A.M. fire	e was surrounding the house at	and began
7	"sweeping" to the north	toward the driveway.	
8			
9	•	Tuesday, October 17, 2017	
10			
11	On Tuesday October 17	7, 2017 at approximately 8:00 A.M.	I arrived
12	with LOHSE, FRANKLI	N, ROATH and BERGLAND. Inves	stigators continued making
13	burn pattern indicator o	bservations and placing colored pir	n flags. I walked clockwise
14	and counter clockwise	around the residence to confirm fire	pattern indicators. I
15	examined the advancin	g fire vector southeast of the reside	ence and observed fire pattern
16	indicators including ang	gle of char and staining. These obs	ervations indicated an initial
17	advancing run in line w	ith the primary residence at	(see attachment
18	D, ROATH, photograph	ns: IMG_0070 thru IMG_0081). I co	entinued walking clockwise
19	around the residence. I	confirmed the advancing vector tra	ansition to a lateral vector on
20	the west side of the res	idence. As I continued towards the	e north and east side of the

residence, I observed fire pattern indicators such as cupping, staining and angle of char (see attachment D, ROATH, photographs: IMG-0105 thru IMG-0107). These fire

23 patterns indicate fire backing to the northwest, towards

24 context of fire behavior, transitions lines, and witness statements, I determined the GOA

25 to include the general area of the primary structure at 1128 Bennett Lane and the

26 immediate area surrounding it, including the area to the northeast, between PG&E pole

27 3 and the residence (see attachment E, Fire Vector Map). The GOA was approximately

28 200-feet east to west by 100-feet north to south (see attachment E, General Origin Area

29 & Specific Origin Area Map).

30

31

The GOA is described as a mostly grassy, with some associated brush, sloping slightly LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

52

Officer Initials

1	uphill as it approaches the southern corner of the residence. Within the GOA, I		
2	observed the remains of what appeared to be a PG&E service drop for the residence		
3	coming from PG&E pole 3, and a section of what appeared to be privately owned		
4	conductor also associated with the residence. The privately-owned conductor extended		
5	downhill to private pole 1 and westerly towards the pool area. The severed ends of		
6	conductor were found on opposing sides of where private pole 3 was before consumed		
7	by fire, as described by Also observed were numerous puddles of melted		
8	metal, including what appeared to include both aluminum and copper. This was later		
9	confirmed by NOLT who commented the temperatures from the fire were high enough		
10	to melt both aluminum and copper (see attachment Q, photograph: 14).		
11			
12	Working inward from the GOA, BERGLAND placed fire pattern indicator flags on the		
13	north and east sides of the 1128 Bennett Lane residence (see attachment D,		
14	MARTINEZ photographs: P-JM-149 thru P-JM-152). BERGLAND observed both micro		
15	and macro fire pattern indicators including, but not limited to charring on small woody		
16	materials, rock sooting and staining, and protection (see attachment D, MARTINEZ		
17	photograph: P-JM-229). BERGLAND observed advancing fire southwest toward the		
18	structure, lateral spread to the east and southeast as well as northwest. Backing fire		
19	was observed to the northeast. As BERGLAND worked inward, he began observing		
20	what appeared to be numerous small pieces of conductor scattered in an area		
21	approximately 20-feet by 30-feet (see attachment D, MARTINEZ photographs: P-JM-		
22	198 thru P-JM-200). This area was considered a possible Specific Origin Area (SOA)		
23	because of the presence of downed conductor and fire pattern indicators showing fire		
24	advancing towards the primary structure. Outside of identifying items of interest such		
25	as the observed pieces of conductor, care was taken to avoid disturbing the possible		
26	SOA so it could be gridded out at a later time. Items of interest were identified with		
27	white and hi-vis green pin flags.		
28			
29	I observed a high degree of damage to both the PG&E and privately owned conductors,		
30	specifically on sections which would have been suspended over and immediately		
31	adjacent to the residence and private pole 3 (see attachment D, MARTINEZ 1 F80 (Rev. 7/2011) Officer Initials		

photographs: P-JM-201, 202, 348, and 360, evidence items E-2 and E-32, and report 1 2 authored by BELLINO). The condition of the conductor as found in the possible SOA 3 exhibited (2) extreme conditions including severely damaged by fire, and relatively undamaged. The section of conductor relatively undamaged by fire, but within the burn 4 5 area, seemed reasonable considering the light ground fuels (see attachment D, 6 MARTINEZ photograph: P-JM-195). The heavily damaged conductor, lying within the 7 same fuel type seemed out of place because of the light ground fuels. I considered the 8 possibility the insulation covered conductor was ignited while suspended, later melted and fell to the area between the residence and PG&E pole 3 (see attachment D, 9 10 ROATH, photographs: IMG 124 thru IMG 128). This would result in the light fuels becoming ignited with the successive fire progression occurring as observed. This 11 scenario provides taking into consideration the origin being associated with the structure 12 13 itself or immediately adjacent to it, causing the structure to become ignited causing subsequent heat and fire damage to the conductor while it was still suspended. I 14 15 identified the grassy area containing the damaged conductor and the structure as the SOA (see attachment E, General Origin Area & Specific Origin Area Map). 16 17 18 Investigators and I searched through debris in the northeast corner of the burned structure to find remnants of the weather head and conductor (see attachment D, 19 MARTINEZ photographs: P-JM-158 thru P-JM-172). The weather head and associated 20 conductor was found and later collected (see attachment D, MARTINEZ photographs: 21 22 P-JM-306 and 342, and attachment Q, photograph: 14). Also, observed in the debris was a section of wood with a rounded edge appearing to be the remnant of a power 23 24 pole. This item was later collected as evidence (see attachment D, MARTINEZ 25 photographs: P-JM-307, evidence item E-11). 26 At approximately 9:30 A.M. LOHSE met with Anne MISSEN at her residence located at 27 28 The following is a summary of their conversation (see attachment G, LOHSE): 29 30 31

MISSEN was home the night of October 8, 2017. Looking west of from her property,

54 Officer Initials

1 she could see the hill side in front of home of RISI). At approximately 10:00 P.M. MISSEN observed a red glow. She then observed a fire 2 slowly progress from left (south) to right (north) throughout the night and the next day. 3 4 The fire was fighting against the wind to move towards her residence. 5 6 At 10:00 A.M., ROATH met with witness Mark OLACHEA, who lives at 401 Burgundy 7 South, in Calistoga, CA. On Sunday, October 8, 2017, OLACHEA was at home and 8 observed the glow of the fire at approximately 10:00 P.M., He exited his residence and parked his vehicle at a dirt turnout on near Tubbs Lane. At approximately 9 1:00 A.M., OLOCHEA took photographs which he provided to ROATH. ROATH 10 11 provided OLOCHEA a LE 78 witness statement which he completed (see attachment G. 12 ROATH, and attachment H). 13 At approximately 12:30 P.M., I took photographs of the GOA and SOA, and the exterior 14 15 and interior of the northeast corner of 1128 Bennett Lane property (see attachment D. MARTINEZ photographs (P- JM-140 thru P-JM-172). 16 17 18 At 2:55 P.M. I was forwarded an email prepared by Fire Investigator Ken MILLER (see 19 attachment J. MILLER). The email was originally provided to NOLT and described 20 dropped circuit breakers observed by MILLER on the morning of the 21 October 10, 2017 (see attachment D, Witness MILLER photographs: DSC 0094 thru 22 DSC 0097). Using the photographs, I was able to determine the pole and the circuit 23 breakers were no longer in the open position and appeared to have been reset (see attachment D, MARTINEZ photograph: P-JM-359). This pole is identified in this 24 25 investigation as PG&E pole 2, and referenced as the pole with "fuse 773". 26 At approximately 4:00 P.M., LOHSE met with Calistoga Fire Department Engineer Rob 27 28 EBLING. The following is a summary of their conversation (see attachment G, LOHSE). 29 30 EBLING was on duty and assigned on Engine 19 the night of October 8, 2017. EBLING responded to the initial dispatch arriving just behind Engine 419. EBLING drove down 31 LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) Officer Initials 55

lane at approximately 9:00 P.M. S. THOMPSON was awoken sometime after 9:00 P.M.

by his wife, telling him the smoke detectors were going off. As he got out of bed, he LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

56

Officer Initials

1	saw flames in the backyard coming down the hill at them. He thought fire was close
2	enough to spray it with a garden hose. S. THOMPSON was looking uphill, the wind
3	blowing into his face, and there were embers flying in the wind. The fire was just north
4	of his residence along a fence line containing metal posts and mesh fence. According
5	to L. THOMPSON, the house never lost power while they were there. S. THOMPSON
6	used the electric garage door opener to get their car. L. THOMPSON called 911 at 9:52
7	P.M. S. THOMPSON noticed fire coming down from where the
8	residence was. He did not see much fire east of his house, but the fire moved
9	backwards that way, and then much later burned the area east of the
10	driveway.
11	
12	At approximately 9:15 A.M. I drove into the driveway of 3350 Hwy 128 and met with
13	resident Whitney RAMPP. RAMPP told me the following in summary (see attachment
14	G, MARTINEZ):
15	
16	On the evening of Sunday, October 8, 2017, RAMPP was at home. Sometime before
17	10:00 P.M. she heard what she thought was a transformer blow. Three to four minutes
18	later she heard a fire engine go by and stop somewhere up by Bennett Lane. RAMPP
19	showed me where the fire was and pointed to the hill I knew to be associated with the
20	1128 Bennett Lane property.
21	
22	At 11:43 A.M., LOHSE and I spoke face to face with R. RISI and J. RISI at their
23	residence at in Calistoga, CA. The following is a summary of their
24	conversation (see attachment G, LOHSE):
25	
26	The THOMPSON'S left their residence at approximately 8:45 P.M. The power went out
27	a little after 9:00 P.M. R. RISI went to bed a short time later and at an unknown time,
28	received a phone call from S. THOMPSON (the time stamp from the S. THOMPSON
29	phone was 9:45 P.M.). S. THOMPSON told R. RISI there a was fire on the hillside and
30	to get out. He stepped out of his door and saw a glow to the southwest. There was no
31	fire directly in front of him facing west or to the north and northeast of his location. The LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) 57 Officer Initials

1 glow looked like it was coming from the THOMPSON'S property and somewhere in 2 between the THOMPSON'S and his neighboring property to the south or southwest. R. 3 RISI returned to his property at 12:00 A.M. and observed fire starting to go up the . He estimated the winds at the property prior to the fire 4 driveway of to be approximately 70 to 80 miles per hour. 5 6 At 12:45 P.M., I spoke with Peggy OKELLY over the phone and she provided a timeline 7 8 of the evening of the fire. On October 19, 2017, she emailed me a timeline of the events the night of the fire (see attachment J, OKELLY). The following is a summary of 9 10 the conversation with her timeline incorporated: 11 12 OKELLY was home at on the evening of October 8, 2017. At approximately 9:28 P.M., the power went off. Sometime after 9:43 P.M. she looked out 13 her window and saw fire coming towards her house. She said it was backing down from 14 "above." I asked where "above" was and she said it was from where her power comes 15 from. I confirmed with her she was referring to the Property. The 16 fire had not wrapped around the west or east side of her residence when she left at 17

approximately 10:15 P.M.



At 2:24 P.M., BERGLAND spoke with Theresa JACKSON who was staying at 3468 Hwy 128, in Calistoga, CA. JACKSON told BERGLAND the following in summary (see attachment G, BERGLAND):

JACKSON explained the winds were really out of control, knocking over table umbrellas and shaking the tiles on the roof. The lights flickered approximately five to ten times between 9:00 P.M. and 9:55 P.M. At 9:55 P.M., she received a phone call from her husband, notifying her there was a fire behind the hill of the house she was in.

JACKSON went outside, looked west and didn't see anything. Then, she walked to the south side of the residence and saw the hill on fire above the pig farm at 3452 Hwy 128 (YANT residence). JACKSON told BERGLAND, "the fire was spotty and there were long lines of fire between the pig farm and the top of the hill." There was fire on the pig farm side (east side) of the access driveway but no fire on the uphill side (west side).

LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

At approximately 12:00 P.M., I photographed the interior of the residence at see attachment D, MARTINEZ photographs P-JM-173 thru P-JM-190).

to the fire damage and evidence of high heat from the fire.

28

see attachment D, MARTINEZ photographs P-JM-173 thru P-JM-190). LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) 60 Officer Initials

2 ROATH photographed fire pattern indicator flags placed by investigation team members

3 (see attachment D, ROATH photographs 0037 thru 0112) on October 16 and 17, 2017.

4

5 I assisted LOHSE preparing a scene diagram (see attachment E).

6

7 At approximately 2:00 P.M., LOHSE met with M. HICKMAN and D. HICKMAN. The

8 following is a summary of their conversation, including information gathered during

subsequent telephone conversations (see attachment G, LOHSE):

9

13

14

11 The HICKMANS were home the night of the fire. A tree had fallen earlier in the

12 evening, blocking their driveway. D. HICKMAN walked down to check if the road was

clear and texted M. HICKMAN the road was clear at 9:38 P.M. After she received the

text, her daughter Victoria knocked on the kitchen door and told her, "Mom, there is a

big orange glow". M. HICKMAN looked out a window which faces north, and said it

16 looked like the wall of trees were on fire. She called 911 at 9:41 P.M. Victoria later told

17 M. HICKMAN she was looking out the window and saw a flash of light, then saw an

orange glow, then went and told her mother. M. HICKMAN said the lights dimmed prior

19 to her daughter notifying her. D. HICKMAN said he heard a pop or explosion and was

aware of a light above him to the north. He estimated the light occurred between 9:27

and 9:28 P.M. He didn't look north to see what it was. LOHSE obtained a series of

photographs taken by M. HICKMAN after she evacuated (see attachment D, LOHSE

23 photographs P-SL-296 thru P-SL-306).

24

25

26

21

22

At 3:05 P.M., BERGLAND contacted witness Cigi RICH, who lives at

The following is a summary of their conversation (see attachment G,

27 BERGLAND):

28

29 RICH was home on the evening of Sunday, October 8, 2017, along with fiancé Jordan

30 JEFFERIES (J. JEFFERIES) and his father Eric JEFFERIES (E. JEFFERIES). The

power went out at approximately 9:00 P.M. At approximately 9:45 P.M. RICH and J. LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

61

Officer Initials

- 1 JEFFERIES went outside and observed the fire on the hill across the valley from them.
- 2 RICH took two photos from her cell phone while J. JEFFERIES called 911. The photos
- 3 were time stamped 9:46 P.M. and 9:49 P.M. (see attachment D, RICH photographs: P-
- 4 CR-001 and P-CR-002). When they left the area on an easement road connecting to
- 5 Bennett Lane, RICH didn't observe any fire on the left or right side of them. BERGLAND
- 6 took a series of "day" photographs to compare to the RICH photographs (see
- 7 Attachment D, BERGLAND photographs: P-VB-004 thru P-VB-0017).

The property. The first visible hills to the southeast of the RICH property include the area of

11

10

12



1314


15

Approximately 4:30 P.M., LOHSE and I placed alphabetized placards at items of

1	interest the investigation team had identified. Placards labeled "A" through "Y" were
2	placed next to items of interest and were then photographed (see attachment D,
3	MARTINEZ photographs: P- JM-195 thru P- JM-243). The alphabetized placards would
4	later be replaced as we decided to use numerical identifiers for items collected as
5	evidence.
6	
7	At approximately 5:50 P.M. BERGLAND contacted Charles DARRALL (C. DARRALL)
8	and Shannon DARRALL (S. DARRALL) at 3154 Hwy 128. The following is a summary
9	of their conversation (see attachment G, BERGLAND):
10	
11	The DARRALLS were home on Sunday, October 8, 2017. At approximately 10:15 P.M.,
12	they saw a flash through their window and heard two loud booms. Both agreed the
13	sound came from the . From an upstairs location of their house,
14	they could see a glow through the window.
15	
16	Friday, October 20, 2017
17	
18	On October 20, 2017, I returned to at approximately 10:00 A.M. with
19	LOHSE, BERGLAND, ROATH, AND FRANKLIN.
20	
21	LOHSE and I placed evidence placards in the area of the
22	At approximately 12:00 P.M. I met with KAROLY and the CAL FIRE LIDAR team. I
23	briefed KAROLY on what I wanted documented, then left the area so they could work
24	undisturbed. It was estimated it would take the rest of the day and the following day to
25	complete the assignment of scanning the developed property at
26	
27	At approximately 12:00 P.M., BERGLAND contacted Luis VARGAS at
28	The following is a summary of the conversation (see attachment G,
29	BERGLAND):
30	
31	VARGAS told BERGLAND power at his residence turned off a little before 9:00 P.M. At LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) 63 Officer Initials

1 approximately 10:05 P.M., he went outside and noticed a fire on the hill above the pig

- 2 farm (YANT residence). He departed his residence at approximately 12:00 A.M. and
- 3 saw the fire on the hill to his right while exiting on the road that ties into Bennett Lane.
- 4 He did not notice fire on his left side (north) while exiting. The hillside above the YANT
- 5 residence at 3452 Hwy 128 includes both the



8

The following is a summary of the conversation (see attachment G,

10 BERGLAND):

11

12 On the night of October 8, 2017 ANDERSON was at home at

approximately 10:05 P.M. E. JEFFERIES was at the door and told him there was fire on 13

14 the hill behind his house. He evacuated his house and drove the easement road to

. He stopped at a gravel 15 Bennett Lane and turned right, driving past

LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

64

Officer Initials

1 road with a metal gate and observed a fire ahead of him. He describes the fire as, "a 2 line of fire from the road edge toward the top of the hill". He returned home where he 3 took some photographs (see attachment D. ANDERSON photographs: P-LA-001 thru 4 P-LA-005). Later, after he left his house, he took additional photographs and a video (see attachment D. ANDERSON photographs: P-LA-006 thru P-LA-020). 5 6 7 At approximately 4:20 P.M., BERGLAND contacted Anthony PERLISS at 8 . The following is a summary of the conversation (see attachment G, BERGLAND): 9 10 11 Between 9:00 P.M. and 10:00 P.M., on October 8, 2017, PERLISS was at home and 12 noticed the lights flicker 2 to 3 times. At approximately 10:10 P.M. PERLISS went 13 outside and while in the driveway looking south, he observed a glow toward the pergola. 14 south of the main residence. He walked to the south to inspect the glow. Halfway to the pergola, he noticed the fire. He described the fire to be like lava, low to the ground, 15 with little to no flames. He did not smell any smoke. He went down to the pergola and 16 17 the fire had not gone past it towards the main house. PERLISS said everything he could see towards Hwy 128 appeared to have burned. He texted his girlfriend at 10:37 18 P.M. and told her the situation. He and his girlfriend exited through an easement road 19 20 towards Hwy 128 and there was fire on both sides of the easement road. 21 22 At approximately 4:45 P.M., I walked to and photographed the 23 residence and associated property. While inspecting the service drop from the 24 to the property, a conductor was observed 25 running through a tree uphill from the residence. While on the property I observed and photographed remnants of Romex and extension cords running uphill on a dirt trail from 26 27 the residence to a wooden viewing platform, destroyed during the 28 fire (see attachment D, MARTINEZ photographs P-JM-279 thru P-JM-288). It was later determined after talking to OKELLY and BROWN JR., the viewing platform did not have 29 electrical power running to it for a number of years. Additionally, I did not observe any 30 evidence of the romex or extension cord connecting to any power source on the 31 Officer Initials M LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) 65

1	residence. I excluded it as a possible ignition source.
2	
3	Saturday, October 21, 2017
4	
5	On Saturday, October 21, 2017, Investigators stayed off site to avoid disturbing the
6	LIDAR team.
7	
8	At 11:20 A.M. LOHSE spoke with the owner of Charlie BROWN JR.
9	via cellular phone. The following is a summary of their conversation (see attachment G,
10	LOHSE):
11	
12	BROWN JR. was asked about Romex and electrical wiring leading from the southeast
13	corner of the main structure and going uphill to a small structure. He stated a contractor
14	built a viewing platform and installed the wiring to be used for it. It has not been used for
15	years.
16	
17	I was informed by KAROLY at approximately 7:00 P.M. the LIDAR team had completed
18	their assignment, and would not be on site the following day.
19	
20	Sunday, October 22, 2017
21	
22	On Sunday October 22, 2017, at approximately 8:30 A.M., I traveled to 69 Lerner Drive,
23	in Calistoga to follow up on a report of a wildland fire, described in a Calistoga Police
24	Department report provided to CAL FIRE (see attachment I). HOSKINS responded to
25	69 Lerner Drive On October 8, 2017 prior to the Tubbs Fire dispatch, and upon arrival
26	found the fire already out. 69 Lerner Drive is approximately 5 miles southeast of the
27	SOA. I arrived at 69 Lerner and met with Katie SERENI who told me the following:
28	
29	While at home on Sunday, October 8, 2017, she observed what looked like fire on one
30	of the overhead power lines. She then saw a branch on fire approximately 30 to 40-feet
31	away on the ground. The branch self-extinguished and was put into the bucket of a

1	backhoe and left there. There was no additional fire in the area. She was unsure what	
2	time the event occurred, but thought it was around 10:15 P.M. I photographed the	
3	remains of a charred tree limb found on the ground and in the bucket, as well as the	
4	span overhead. There was no visible damage to the overhead conductor. (see	
5	attachment D, MARTINEZ photographs P-JM-289 thru P-JM-293).	
6		
7	After meeting with SERENI, I drove to	
8	10:00 A.M., where I met with Robert TRUMBALL and Daniel DAVIS of PG&E. The	
9	purpose of our meeting was to collect Smart Meters as evidence from properties	
10	surrounding the	
11	the collection of Smart Meter's from (evidence item 20),	
12	(evidence item 21) and (evidence item 22)	
13	(photographs P-JM-294 thru P-JM-299).	
14		
15	I was advised by ZIMMERMAKER, that two additional CAL FIRE Investigators would be	
16	performing a peer review of the origin and cause investigation. This was done to test	
17	the determination made by the investigation team. Physical identifiers such as colored	
18	flags and evidentiary placards would have to be removed as to not influence the	
19	investigators in their review.	
20		
21	At approximately 1:00 P.M., LOHSE and I determined items to be collected as evidence	
22	and placed numbered placards 1 through 12 next to the identified items. Items were	
23	then photographed so they could be identified later when collected, following the second	
24	opinion field review.	
25		
26	Monday, October 23, 2017	
27		
28	On October 23, 2017, at approximately 10:00 A.M. I met with CAL FIRE Deputy Chief	
29	Jeremy MONROE and Fire Captain Darren STEWART at 1128 Bennett Lane in	
30	Calistoga. Prior to their arrival, myself and LOHSE, BERGLAND, and ROATH pulled all	
31	fire pattern indicator pin flags and placards from the subject property. White pin flags LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) 67 Officer Initials	

1	were maintained within the SOA to assist investigators to identify the location of
2	evidence. Prior to MONROE and STEWART beginning their investigation, the
3	investigation team provided a briefing which included witness statements and
4	photographs. The team also oriented MONROE and STEWART to the surrounding
5	properties. No information was provided regarding evidence, any working hypothesis,
6	or any formulated opinions. Once the briefing was complete, MONROE and STEWART
7	were left to begin their investigation.
8	
9	At approximately 11:00 A.M., I met with representatives from the State of California
10	Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) including Deputy Director Lee PALMER, Deputy
11	Executive Director Maryam EBKA, Utilities Engineer Raymond CHO, and Utilities
12	Engineer Wilson TSAI. I briefed CPUC representatives on the electrical systems I had
13	identified on the property and informed them of what PG&E equipment I had collected
14	and what I intended to collect. CPUC members inspected the area and took some
15	photographs of the electrical systems. I confirmed with CPUC members the
16	responsibility of PG&E versus the landowner with regards to the service drop. It was
17	my understanding through the course of the meeting that PG&E has responsibility from
18	the service drop to the weather head. I was told Raymond CHO would be my contact
19	regarding this case. CPUC staff left the scene at approximately 12:20 P.M.
20	
21	Tuesday, October 24, 2017
22	
23	On TUESDAY, October 24, 2017 at approximately 12:30 P.M., STEWART and

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

On TUESDAY, October 24, 2017 at approximately 12:30 P.M., STEWART and MONROE arrived on scene and continued their peer review examination of the initial GOA determination. At approximately 6:45 P.M. they completed their examination of the scene and returned to their hotel. I was contacted by STEWART and MONROE by telephone, and advised they had determined the area exterior and to the rear of the residence to be the GOA. Once they were satisfied with a GOA, they did not continue further to determine an SOA, to avoid any disturbance to the scene. They advised me they would be preparing and submitting a supplemental report of their findings.

1 Wednesday, October 25, 2017 2 3 On Wednesday, October 25, 2017 I arrived on scene at approximately 8:30 A.M. and briefed the team on collecting evidence. LOHSE, ROATH, CAL FIRE Captain Brandon 4 5 BERTOLINO, and CAL FIRE Captain Kyle STEIS assisted. 6 7 At approximately 9:00 A.M. LOHSE and I began photographing and collecting items of evidence beginning with evidence item 19 and working backwards until evidence item 8 9 E-2 was collected (see attachment D. MARTINEZ photographs P-JM-314 thru P-JM-10 349). A non-sequential order of collection was done to allow Investigator's to perform a 11 grid search within the SOA. 12 ROATH, BERTOLINO, and STEIS performed a grid search, photographed and 13 collected a series of wire fragments in the SOA adjacent to the house (Evidence Item E-14 15 1 with categorized subsets based on the grid section (see attachment D and O) 16 At approximately 12:00 P.M., LOHSE and I continued collecting and photographing 17 evidence items 23 through 26 (see attachment D, MARTINEZ photographs: P-JM-350 18 19 thru P-JM-357). Evidence items E-20 through E-22 were collected on October 22, 20 2017). 21 22 At approximately 1:00 P.M., I met with CAL FIRE Forester II Charlie MARTIN at the 23 origin site. MARTIN was requested to evaluate the general condition of the trees on the 24 property, specifically those adjacent to the 25 The CPUC and PG&E were expected to arrive on scene at approximately 1:00 P.M. to 26 27 observe and assist with the collection of evidence identified as PG&E property. Representatives of the CPUC arrived on scene at approximately 1:00 P.M. and PG&E 28 29 arrived shortly after. I met with PG&E representative Maria DELUCA and I advised her what items I would be collecting. I observed while PG&E staff removed the identified 30 property and turned it over to me (see attachment D, MARTINEZ photographs: P-JM-31 Officer Initials LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

1	358 thru P-JM-361). In all, we collected in total (7) fuse assemblies from PG&E poles 1
2	thru 3, and (1) PG&E line drop from PG&E pole 3 to the
3	(evidence items E-27 through E-34).
4	
5	All evidence collection was completed at approximately 4:00 P.M. and was handed over
6	to STEIS, who took custody of, and transported it to the Sonoma Lake Napa Unit (LNU)
7	Headquarters at 2210 West College Ave in Santa Rosa, CA, where it was placed in the
8	evidence locker.
9	
10	In January 2018, I received a report from MARTIN on his evaluation of trees
11	surrounding the burned structure at and the overall stand of trees
12	in, and around, the developed portion of the property. MARTIN provided photographs
13	with graphics as well as a diagram (see attachment M). The following is a summary of
14	his findings:
15	
16	The primary species in and surrounding the developed property of
17	include coast live oak, Douglas-fir, coast redwood, foothill pine, along with various shrub
18	species. MARTIN did not observe significant defects on the main trunks or branches of
19	trees surrounding the developed portion of the property. Trees near the structure
20	showed signs of pruning on the lower branches. Trees #1 and #2, which are located
21	immediately adjacent to the structure on the northeast side had signs of heart rot in the
22	main trunk and lateral branches, and showed signs of poor pruning cuts. Tree #1
23	showed hollow and burned out broken branches and burned out pruning wounds. Other
24	than heart rot in both trees, there were no signs of insect or pathogen pests that would
25	significantly impact individual trees on the property. Based on the number of cavities
26	observed on trees #1 and #2, MARTIN concluded there was a significant amount of
27	heart rot in the main scaffold branches and lateral branches. By decaying the central
28	wood of a trunk or branch, heart rot fungi can significantly weaken the structure of a
29	tree.
30	
31	I did not observe any charred remains of branches observed within the SOA.

	a		
-			
	J		
	4		

- 2 On Thursday, January 25, 2018 at approximately 1:00 P.M., I received a supplemental
- 3 investigation report prepared by STEWART and MONROE. In summary, STEWART
- 4 and MONROE determined the area exterior to the rear of the residence to be the GOA.
- 5 They were unable to determine an SOA due to lack of micro scale indicators available
- 6 to examine (see attachment N).

- 8 On January 26, 2018 at approximately 3:00 P.M., I spoke with trial lawyer James
- 9 FRANTZ with Frantz Law Group, out of Santa Rosa, CA. FRANTZ told me he was in
- 10 possession of information regarding the location and cause of the Tubbs Fire and he
- 11 was willing to share the information with me. The information would be available the
- 12 following day in Santa Rosa. I arranged for BERTOLINO to meet with FRANTZ and
- 13 receive the information.

14

- On Saturday, January 27, 2018 BERTOLINO, met with FRANTZ at the Hyatt Regency
- 16 Hotel in Santa Rosa and was provided a thumb drive containing a Power Point
- 17 presentation (see attachment J, FRANTZ). BERTOLINO mailed me the thumb drive
- 18 with the presentation. In summary, the material provided suggests the Tubbs Fire
- originated near 1318 Bennett Lane, a location approximately 2200-feet northeast of the
- 20 SOA. The determination of the GOA and overall fire progression, including witness
- 21 statements, indicate this location was not consumed by fire until well after the fire was
- 22 reported and established. The location was eliminated as a fire
- 23 origin.

- 25 On March 8, 2017, at approximately 10:50 A.M., I met NOLT in Santa Rosa at the CAL
- 26 FIRE LNU Headquarters to review physical evidence. We examined the conductor
- 27 associated with private pole 1. All sections of conductor had heat and fire damage
- 28 consistent with an external source such as a burning pole. This was evident by the
- 29 observation of damage to the underside of the conductor jacket and tape. Also, there
- was no indication of arcing found on any of the conductor associated with private pole 1.

- 1 Following the review of the private electrical system, NOLT looked at the fuse
- 2 assemblies collected from PG&E. The fuses found in PG&E pole 2 (pole 773) were 25
- 3 Amp fuses. We examined the fuse assemblies from PG&E poles 1 and 3 and observed
- 4 no obvious signs of damage. During the review, NOLT was unable to open and identify
- 5 the fuse type in PG&E poles 1 and 3.

- 7 After NOLT finished his examination, I left Santa Rosa and drove to Anamet, INC in
- 8 Hayward, CA, where I met with Testing Manager M. Dilip BHANDARKAR and James
- 9 BELLINO. I transferred custody of the evidence items I determined to have tested
- 10 (evidence items E-1, E-2, E-5, E-25 and E-32) to BELLINO at 4:10 P.M (see attachment
- 11 F).

12

- 13 PG&E has provided numerous data files to ZIMMERMAKER, who transferred then to
- me. Any data not referenced in this report has been retained on a storage device kept
- 15 in the evidence locker in LNU.

16

- 17 On June 27, 2018, at approximately 9:00 A.M. I met with NOLT and BERTOLINO at
- 18 PG&E Technology Center at 3400 Crow Canyon Road San Ramon, CA. BERTOLINO
- brought Smart Meters from 1200, 1110, and 1177 Bennett Lane (evidence items E-20,
- 20 E-21, and E-22). At approximately 11:00 A.M. Smart Meter Data was extracted by Itron,
- 21 Inc technicians. The raw data was handed over to CAL FIRE on a thumb drive and
- 22 collected as evidence. The Evidence items were returned to BERTOLINO, who
- transported them back to the LNU Evidence locker at 2210, West College Avenue,
- 24 Santa Rosa, CA (see attachment F).

- 26 On September 17, 2018, I was contacted by Regina Bagdasarian (BAGDASARIAN), a
- 27 Lawyer with the FRANTZ LAW GROUP. BAGDASARIAN informed me their
- 28 investigator, Michael Schulz, had compiled some definitive information on the origin of
- 29 the Tubbs Fire that he wanted to share it with me. I contacted BALDWIN who informed
- 30 me BERTOLINO would pick up the information from SCHULZ in Santa Rosa later in the
- 31 month (see attachment J, FRANTZ). LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

- 2 On September 19, 2018, I received a draft report authored by BELLINO, on the
- 3 metallurgical evaluation of the five samples exposed to the fire at 1128 Bennett Lane.
- 4 The samples submitted for analysis included evidence items E-1, E-2, E-5, E-25, and E-
- 5 32. In summary, the report reached the following conclusion: (see attachment P):

- "No evidence that the system was energized at the time conductors were severed was 7
- 8 observed in the samples submitted. If the conductors were charged when they were
- 9 severed and fell to the ground, the physical evidence of that condition, namely electric
- arcing, was destroyed by extensive fire exposure and melting." 10

11

- 12 On September 24, 2018, I spoke with Fire Investigator Mike COLE, who told me he was
- 13 an investigator for insurance companies. He had obtained cell phone video footage
- 14 from an unknown witness. COLE told me the video had been analyzed and it was
- 15 determined the fire had originated from the top of the hill near the ZINC house on the
- 16 1128 Bennett Lane property. I requested a copy of the video.

17

- 18 On September 28, 2018, BERTOLINO received a thumb drive containing a Power Point
- presentation identified by BAGDASARIAN, named "Tubbs Fire, Investigative Update, 19
- 20 September 27, 2018" (see attachment J, FRANTZ). BERTOLINO mailed me the thumb
- 21 drive with the presentation. In summary, the material provided suggests the Tubbs Fire
- 22 originated on the hillside along Bennett Lane, on the , in the
- 23 vicinity of the satellite dish or PG&E conductor running parallel to
- 24 review, CAL FIRE Investigators determined this area was not within the GOA, utilizing
- 25 macro indicators observed at the scene.

- 27 On October 12, 2018 I was emailed a copy of video footage from COLE which was put
- 28 onto a thumb drive and stored as evidence. In the email, COLE explained the
- 29 dashboard time in the vehicle is 9:58 P.M., but the record time was 9:45 P.M., as
- 30 determined by the metadata (not provided). COLE claims the video was recorded along
- Hwy 128 just north of Tubbs Lane (see attachment J. COLE). 31 LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

3 On November 15, 2018, I received a copy of a letter to CAL FIRE counsel Bruce

4 CRANE from PG&E Counsel Elizabeth COLLIER (see attachment R). In summary, the

letter clarifies Fuse 773 (PG&E pole 2) operated on October 8, 2017 at 9:20 PM, de-

energizing residences downstream, including 1128, 1200, and 1177 Bennett Lane.

7

5

6

8 On December 11, 2018, I received a final report authored by BELLINO on the

9 metallurgical evaluation of the five samples exposed to the fire at 1128 Bennett Lane.

10 The samples submitted for analysis included evidence items E-1, E-2, E-5, E-25, and E-

32. In summary, the report reached the following conclusion: (see attachment P): 11

12

13 "No evidence that the system was energized at the time conductors were severed was

14 observed in the samples submitted. If the conductors were charged when they were

15 severed and fell to the ground, the physical evidence of that condition, namely electric

16 arcing, was destroyed by extensive fire exposure and melting."

17

19

20

18 On December 26, 2018, I received a final report from NOLT on his observations on the

ignition source of the Tubbs Fire. In his Abstract, NOLT writes; "The source of ignition

for the wild land fire in the subject area was electrically undetermined because of the

21 severe damage to the electrical equip in and around , while

PG&E automatic equipment (smart meters, SCADA, reclosers, etc.) responded to and 22

23 documented some of the fire progression events, the combination of physical evidence.

24 data, witness statements, videos, etc. do not confirm that PG&E equipment was the

25 source of ignition". NOLT further discusses that if an electrical fault within the wiring at

26 1128 Bennett Lane was responsible for the 2-25A fuses blowing on pole 773 (PG&E

27 pole 2), then the 2-3A fuses on PG&E pole 3 would have also been blown and they

were not. NOLT also states; "It is therefore more likely the 2-25A fuses blowing is the

29 result of some other source of load increase like; occupant demand, occupant fire

30 suppression, or some other undetermined phenomenon".

31



- 1 Under point 5 of his report, NOLT discusses the lack of physical evidence near the 2 residence, normally used to evaluate, was not present because it was all consumed by fire. He goes on further to stating; "Any subsequent fire induced melting of a conductor 3 can destroy the fine melt details of an arced conductor. This fire induced conductor 4 5 melting destroys the evidence of any possible arcing ignition". No such evidence was 6 found by NOLT. 7 8 **Opinions and Conclusion:** 9 10 Based on my training, education and experience, investigation team input, witness statements, expert evaluations, and evidence collected, I have formed opinions on the 11 12 origin and cause of the Tubbs Fire. 13 14 A PG&E service drop, privately owned conductor and equipment, and several aluminum 15 conductor fragments were recovered. Fire pattern indicators showed fire spread 16 towards the structure, indicating an ignition in the light fuels between the structure and 17 PG&E pole 3. However, there is no evidence showing the conductors were "charged" when they were severed and fell to the ground, as described by BELLINO. The 18 19 condition of the conductors suggests they were suspended while exposed to sustained 20 heat and flames from heavy fuels such as burning vegetation or a structure. This is 21 further corroborated by the light flashy fuels present where the conductors came to rest. 22 which would not provide the sustained heat and flame needed to damage the 23 conductor, further suggesting the fire damage occurred while suspended. 24 25 The fire pattern indicators observed in the light ground fuels immediately east of the 26 structure are likely a secondary ignition from the already burning, "uncharged" PG&E 27 service drop and private conductor after they fell to the ground. The aluminum 28 conductor fragments are likely a result of the conductor fracturing from heat. 29 PG&E hardware was collected as evidence from PG&E pole 3 and was found to be 30
- undamaged and in good repair. Fuses collected from PG&E pole 3 were rated 3-AMP, LE80 (Rev. 7/2011)

 75

 Officer Initials

7	found in good condition, and showed no evidence of operating. If an event would have
2	occurred, such as a tree or limb falling on PG&E equipment between PG&E pole 3 and
3	the roof top weather head, causing damage, this in theory would result in the 3-AMP
4	fuses on the PG&E transformer operating, protecting the PG&E equipment between the
5	weather head and Bennett Lane. The fact that the PG&E 3-AMP fuses did not operate
6	in addition to the metallurgist finding no evidence of conductivity on these conductors at
7	the time of their break, provides no evidence supporting the PG&E conductor and/or
8	equipment between PG&E pole 3 and the weather head as causes of the fire.
9	Therefore, it is unlikely PG&E equipment is responsible for causing the Tubbs Fire.
0	
1	Fuse 773 is located on
2	This fuse was reported as operating (opening the circuit) at approximately 9:20 P.M., on
3	October 8, 2017. The fire was initially reported at approximately 9:41 P.M. The PG&E
4	pole 3 contains 3-AMP fuses with the objective of protecting the main PG&E distribution
5	lines from an event at the
16	happened at the residence between PG&E pole 3 and the
17	residence, the 3-AMP fuses would operate and not the 25 AMP fuses at Fuse 773. The
18	fact that Fuse 773 operated, but the 3-AMP fuses did not, provides further evidence an
19	event causing damage and a fault did not occur between PG&E pole 3 and the
20	while there was electricity at the Property
21	(prior to 9:20 P.M.). However, these facts stated in this paragraph do not eliminate a
22	structure fire or a fault on the private conductor line at
23	occurring prior to 9:20 P.M. This is due to the fact if an event occurred on the private
24	conductor line and/or privately owned electrical equipment at the
25	residence, this in theory would result in the electrical circuit breakers at the residence
26	operating, shutting off power to the electrical meter and disconnecting the circuit to the
27	PG&E service drop. This in theory would not result in the PG&E pole 3, 3-AMP fuses
28	operating, and would keep them in the position they were found when collected as
29	evidence. In summary, these facts stated above disprove the theory of the PG&E
30	conductor and equipment between PG&E pole 3 and the
31	as a cause of the fire. However, these facts stated above still leave the possibility of a LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) 76 Officer Initials

1 fire cause by the structure and/or private conductor lines at the 2 property. 3 4 At the , after the service drop, electrical power was 5 redistributed to the residence, associated structures, and water pump and well via 6 privately owned conductor and equipment. According to ANDREWS, caretaker of the 7 property, there was no gas service in the residence and power was on the day of the 8 fire. Private pole 3, which was located immediately adjacent to the residence was the 9 primary distribution pole for private conductor extending northwest towards the pool area and southeast towards the water pump station. Private pole 3 was identified to be 10 11 replaced in the near future by ANDREWS, because of its weakened condition. It was completely consumed by fire, with the exception of a small piece observed next to the 12 13 pole's burned out hole. The privately owned electrical hardware was destroyed during the fire and no evidence of an electrical event was observed. 14 15 16 However, the existence of private pole 3 on the north side of the residence provides 17 some theory of explanation for the lack of evidence of an electrical event found on the 18 private conductor. Due to the light ground fuels to the north of private pole 3, it is my 19 opinion as a fire investigator, if the pole fell to the north into this vegetation, we would 20 have found the pole more in-tact, due to low fire intensity. If the pole fell to the south, this would pull the private conductor on top of the structure, which burned upwards of 21 1981 degrees Fahrenheit, based on the presence of melted copper found within the 22 23 structure. This theory of the private conductor evidence being consumed by the structure fire provides an explanation as to why no evidence of the private conductor 24 25 being energized at the time of the fire was found by the metallurgist. The electrical meter and circuit breakers associated with the structure were also destroyed by the fire. 26 If these items were preserved, it would further clarify the cause of the fire. Gathered 27 data, evidence, fire indicators, and witness statements established within this 28 29 investigation, provide no evidence to disprove the structure itself or the private 30 conductor line at as a cause of the fire. If an event occurred, such as a tree, limb, or pole falling, causing trauma to the privately-owned conductor, 31 Officer Initials M LE80 (Rev. 7/2011) 77

1	evidence of such an event was not observed.
2	
3	In summary, after a thorough, systematic investigation, taking into account witness
4	statements, expert opinion, physical evidence, collected data, and fire indicators, the
5	origin of the Tubbs Fire is the primary residential structure and immediate area
6	surrounding the structure at During my investigation, I eliminated all
7	other causes for the Tubbs Fire, with the exception of an electrical caused fire
8	originating from an unknown event affecting privately owned conductor or equipment.
9	
10	
11	*I reserve the right to amend or augment my opinion if new information is provided to, or
12	discovered by me at a later date.
13	
14	1, 11/
15	Mh Mt 1-20-19
16	Signature Date
17	
18	John MARTINEZ, #2987
19	Battalion Chief
20	CAL FIRE San Mateo-Santa Cruz Unit
21	

10 - ATTACHMENTS:

- A. Weather conditions discussion prepared by CAL FIRE North Ops predictive services
- B. FC-34 Interagency Report of Incident and Dispatch Action
- C. Tubbs Damage Inspection Report (report appendix A provided on disk).
- D. Photographs
- 1. MARTINEZ
- 2. LOHSE
- 3. ROATH
- 4. BERGLAND
- 5. STEIS
- 6. MARTIN
- 7. MONROE/STEWART
- 8. RICH
- 9. HOSKINS
- 11. MILLER
- 12. WITNESS/LOHSE
- 13. COMPARISON
- 14. JACKSON
- E. Incident sketches and diagrams
- F. Evidence log/Chain of custody
- G. CAL FIRE LE 71, Supplemental Reports
 - 1. FRITS
 - 2. MARTINEZ
 - 3. LOHSE
 - 4. BERGLAND
 - 5. ROATH

- 6. FRANKLIN
- 7. BERTOLINO
- H. CAL FIRE LE 78, Witness Statements
- I. HOSKINS report Calistoga Police Department
- J. Additional shared information
 - 1. MILLER
 - 2. FRANTZ
 - 3. OKELLY
 - 4. COLE
- K. LE-100 inspection report for 1128 Bennett Lane
- L. LIDAR mapping exhibit
- M. Forester II MARTIN supplemental report
- N. Peer Review MONROE and STEWART
- O. SOA Grid Search
- P. Metallurgist Report
- Q. Electrical Engineer Report.
- R. PG&E Correspondence